APPENDIX F



Additional Equity Cross-tabulations

Additional Equity Cross-tabulations

Chapters 2–7 report data on several key indicators, disaggregated by one or more equity factors: the prior achievement level of students in the class, the percentage of non-Asian minority students in the class, the percentage of students in the school eligible for free/reduced-price lunch, school size, community type, and region. This appendix includes data on each of these indicators by all relevant equity factors. Each table title includes a reference to the related table in the body of the report.

<u> </u>	Percent of Classes				
	0-2 years	3–5 years	6–10 years	11–20 years	≥ 21 years
Prior Achievement Level of Class					
Mostly High Achievers	12 (1.7)	13 (1.5)	24 (2.7)	31 (3.1)	21 (2.4)
Average/Mixed Achievers	15 (1.1)	17 (1.4)	23 (1.2)	29 (1.3)	16 (1.2)
Mostly Low Achievers	18 (2.8)	17 (2.7)	27 (4.2)	27 (3.7)	11 (1.8)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority					
Students in Class					
Lowest Quartile	14 (1.9)	15 (1.9)	20 (2.2)	32 (2.6)	19 (2.1)
Second Quartile	12 (1.5)	14 (1.8)	22 (2.6)	31 (2.2)	21 (2.6)
Third Quartile	12 (1.3)	15 (1.9)	26 (2.2)	32 (2.5)	15 (1.6)
Highest Quartile	21 (2.3)	19 (2.2)	24 (1.9)	24 (2.0)	11 (1.2)
Percent of Students in School		· · · ·			
Eligible for FRL					
Lowest Quartile	10 (1.3)	15 (1.8)	26 (2.3)	34 (2.5)	15 (1.6)
Second Quartile	11 (1.4)	16 (2.0)	23 (2.0)	30 (1.9)	20 (2.2)
Third Quartile	16 (1.7)	16 (1.7)	21 (2.0)	30 (2.0)	17 (1.6)
Highest Quartile	23 (2.6)	22 (2.5)	23 (2.1)	21 (2.2)	11 (1.4)
School Size					
Smallest Schools	14 (1.6)	17 (1.6)	24 (2.7)	29 (2.0)	16 (1.7)
Second Group	16 (1.9)	17 (2.2)	23 (2.3)	29 (1.7)	16 (1.8)
Third Group	15 (1.5)	17 (1.8)	23 (1.9)	26 (1.7)	18 (2.0)
Largest Schools	14 (2.0)	16 (1.5)	23 (2.0)	32 (2.6)	14 (1.5)
Community Type					
Rural	13 (1.1)	16 (1.5)	22 (1.8)	34 (2.2)	16 (1.7)
Suburban	15 (1.3)	16 (1.5)	25 (1.4)	28 (1.6)	16 (1.3)
Urban	16 (1.9)	18 (1.7)	23 (2.1)	27 (2.0)	16 (1.6)
Region					
Midwest	12 (1.4)	14 (1.4)	21 (1.9)	33 (2.3)	19 (1.7)
Northeast	14 (2.4)	19 (3.1)	23 (2.9)	29 (2.1)	15 (2.1)
South	18 (1.5)	17 (1.4)	24 (1.4)	26 (1.8)	15 (1.2)
West	11 (1.7)	18 (1.9)	24 (2.6)	31 (2.2)	16 (1.9)

Table F-1 (Table 2.3 – Science) Science Classes Taught by Teachers with Varying Experience Teaching Science, by Equity Factors

	Percent of Classes				
	0–2 years	3–5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	\geq 21 years
Prior Achievement Level of					
Class					
Mostly High Achievers	8 (1.5)	12 (1.5)	22 (2.4)	32 (2.3)	26 (1.9)
Average/Mixed Achievers	13 (1.0)	16 (1.0)	23 (1.1)	29 (1.2)	18 (1.1)
Mostly Low Achievers	15 (2.0)	14 (1.8)	22 (2.1)	33 (2.4)	16 (2.0)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority					
Students in Class					
Lowest Quartile	12 (1.6)	11 (1.3)	20 (1.9)	32 (2.2)	24 (2.1)
Second Quartile	8 (1.2)	14 (1.4)	24 (1.8)	34 (2.0)	20 (1.6)
Third Quartile	15 (1.8)	16 (1.6)	21 (1.6)	27 (1.9)	21 (1.8)
Highest Quartile	14 (1.8)	16 (1.5)	25 (1.8)	32 (2.1)	13 (1.4)
Percent of Students in School					
Eligible for FRL					
Lowest Quartile	12 (2.2)	13 (1.4)	24 (1.9)	30 (2.1)	22 (2.0)
Second Quartile	12 (1.0)	13 (1.4)	24 (1.7)	32 (2.0)	19 (1.5)
Third Quartile	12 (1.4)	16 (1.8)	22 (1.8)	30 (1.8)	21 (1.6)
Highest Quartile	14 (1.6)	19 (1.9)	21 (1.7)	31 (2.1)	15 (1.6)
School Size					
Smallest Schools	16 (1.9)	14 (1.4)	21 (1.8)	30 (2.0)	20 (1.9)
Second Group	11 (1.1)	17 (1.8)	21 (1.4)	30 (1.8)	22 (1.7)
Third Group	11 (1.4)	15 (1.6)	24 (1.6)	31 (1.8)	18 (1.6)
Largest Schools	13 (1.7)	14 (1.4)	24 (1.8)	32 (2.0)	17 (2.0)
Community Type					
Rural	13 (1.6)	15 (1.6)	21 (1.6)	31 (2.1)	20 (1.7)
Suburban	12 (1.2)	14 (1.0)	24 (1.1)	31 (1.4)	19 (1.3)
Urban	14 (1.2)	16 (1.7)	21 (1.6)	29 (1.8)	19 (1.7)
Region					
Midwest	12 (1.5)	15 (1.9)	21 (2.0)	30 (1.9)	22 (1.8)
Northeast	10 (1.6)	15 (1.7)	22 (1.9)	33 (2.2)	20 (2.4)
South	16 (1.5)	16 (1.2)	23 (1.2)	28 (1.5)	17 (1.3)
West	8 (1.0)	13 (1.5)	25 (2.0)	36 (2.5)	19 (1.7)

Table F-2 (Table 2.3 – Mathematics)Mathematics Classes Taught by Teachers withVarying Experience Teaching Mathematics, by Equity Factors

	Percent o	f Teachers
	Science	Mathematics
Prior Achievement Level of Class		
Mostly High Achievers	76 (2.7)	61 (2.8)
Average/Mixed Achievers	60 (1.9)	53 (2.2)
Mostly Low Achievers	50 (4.5)	49 (2.9)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class		
Lowest Quartile	68 (3.6)	64 (3.1)
Second Quartile	65 (3.7)	57 (3.0)
Third Quartile	62 (3.1)	54 (2.8)
Highest Quartile	58 (3.6)	44 (3.1)
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL		
Lowest Quartile	68 (3.1)	56 (3.5)
Second Quartile	57 (3.3)	53 (2.8)
Third Quartile	62 (3.7)	54 (3.1)
Highest Quartile	58 (3.9)	51 (3.7)
School Size		
Smallest Schools	60 (3.3)	53 (2.9)
Second Group	64 (3.5)	55 (3.3)
Third Group	63 (3.1)	56 (2.4)
Largest Schools	62 (3.9)	53 (3.4)
Community Type		
Rural	59 (2.8)	55 (3.2)
Suburban	63 (2.3)	55 (2.2)
Urban	63 (3.8)	52 (2.7)
Region		
Midwest	61 (3.9)	63 (3.4)
Northeast	68 (3.2)	61 (3.2)
South	62 (2.4)	51 (2.2)
West	60 (3.4)	43 (3.7)

Table F-3 (Table 2.6)Secondary Teachers with a Degree in Discipline, by Equity Factors

Substantial Dackground in Subject of Selected Class,	by Equity Factors
	Percent of Classes
Prior Achievement Level of Class	
Mostly High Achievers	69 (2.9)
Average/Mixed Achievers	64 (2.1)
Mostly Low Achievers	57 (6.4)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class	
Lowest Quartile	63 (4.1)
Second Quartile	69 (3.0)
Third Quartile	63 (2.9)
Highest Quartile	62 (3.6)
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL	
Lowest Quartile	67 (2.5)
Second Quartile	67 (3.1)
Third Quartile	61 (4.1)
Highest Quartile	65 (4.4)
School Size	
Smallest Schools	61 (3.5)
Second Group	70 (3.1)
Third Group	65 (3.1)
Largest Schools	61 (3.3)
Community Type	
Rural	66 (3.8)
Suburban	65 (2.3)
Urban	61 (2.7)
Region	
Midwest	70 (3.4)
Northeast	74 (3.1)
South	58 (2.3)
West	60 (4.5)
[†] Defined as having either a degree or at least three advanced courses in the	

Table F-4 (Table 2.19)Secondary Science Classes Taught by Teachers withSubstantial Background[†] in Subject of Selected Class, by Equity Factors

[†] Defined as having either a degree or at least three advanced courses in the subject of their selected class.

Perceptions of Preparedness Composites, by Equity Factors				
		Mean Sc	ore	
	Teach Students	Encourage Students'	Teach	Implement
	from Diverse	Interest in	Science	Instruction in
	Backgrounds	Science	Content [†]	Particular Unit
Prior Achievement Level of Class				
Mostly High Achievers	57 (1.8)	80 (1.3)	83 (1.1)	84 (1.0)
Average/Mixed Achievers	56 (1.0)	69 (1.2)	79 (0.8)	77 (0.5)
Mostly Low Achievers	51 (2.5)	65 (2.8)	73 (3.7)	75 (1.1)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority				
Students in Class				
Lowest Quartile	54 (1.8)	72 (1.8)	79 (1.6)	80 (1.0)
Second Quartile	54 (1.6)	70 (1.7)	81 (1.0)	79 (0.9)
Third Quartile	57 (1.4)	72 (1.5)	80 (1.1)	79 (0.9)
Highest Quartile	55 (1.4)	65 (2.4)	79 (1.7)	76 (1.0)
Percent of Students in School				
Eligible for FRL				
Lowest Quartile	60 (2.0)	74 (1.9)	81 (1.0)	79 (1.0)
Second Quartile	57 (1.5)	70 (1.8)	80 (1.1)	80 (0.6)
Third Quartile	54 (1.4)	67 (2.8)	79 (1.3)	76 (0.9)
Highest Quartile	54 (1.7)	68 (1.6)	80 (1.7)	76 (1.1)
School Size				
Smallest Schools	55 (1.6)	70 (1.7)	77 (2.0)	78 (0.9)
Second Group	53 (1.7)	68 (2.1)	81 (1.1)	77 (1.1)
Third Group	59 (1.3)	73 (1.6)	80 (1.1)	79 (0.9)
Largest Schools	56 (1.2)	69 (2.4)	81 (1.8)	78 (0.9)
Community Type				
Rural	54 (1.4)	69 (1.8)	79 (1.0)	79 (0.9)
Suburban	57 (1.3)	71 (1.4)	80 (1.0)	79 (0.6)
Urban	55 (1.3)	70 (2.3)	79 (2.1)	76 (1.1)
Region				
Midwest	54 (1.4)	69 (1.7)	80 (1.4)	77 (1.1)
Northeast	56 (2.4)	73 (2.6)	81 (1.3)	79 (1.2)
South	56 (1.1)	68 (1.4)	79 (1.1)	78 (0.6)
West	57 (1.7)	73 (2.0)	79 (2.5)	77 (0.9)

Table F-5 (Table 2.35) Class Mean Scores for Science Teacher Perceptions of Preparedness Composites, by Equity Factors

 Perceptions of Preparedness to Teach Science Content score was computed only for non-self-contained classes and is based on content in the randomly selected class.

i ci ceptions o	Perceptions of Preparedness Composites, by Equity Factors				
	Mean Score				
		Encourage			
	Teach Students	Students'	Teach	Implement	
	from Diverse	Interest in	Mathematics	Instruction in	
	Backgrounds	Mathematics	Content [†]	Particular Unit	
Prior Achievement Level of Class	Duckgrounds	manenancs	Content	Turticular Chit	
Mostly High Achievers	59 (1.4)	79 (1.3)	86 (0.5)	88 (0.7)	
Average/Mixed Achievers	59 (1.4) 58 (0.8)	79 (1.3) 78 (0.8)	80 (0.3) 81 (0.6)	83 (0.5)	
6		· · /	81 (0.0) 80 (0.8)	· · ·	
Mostly Low Achievers	58 (1.5)	75 (1.5)	80 (0.8)	83 (0.8)	
Percent of Non-Asian Minority					
Students in Class	55 (1.5)	75 (1.4)	92 (1.0)	95 (0.7)	
Lowest Quartile	55 (1.5)	75 (1.4)	82 (1.0)	85 (0.7)	
Second Quartile	57 (1.2)	78 (1.2)	85 (0.6)	85 (0.7)	
Third Quartile	59 (1.2)	78 (1.2)	82 (0.8)	84 (0.7)	
Highest Quartile	61 (1.4)	79 (1.3)	81 (0.9)	83 (0.8)	
Percent of Students in School					
Eligible for FRL					
Lowest Quartile	58 (1.4)	76 (1.5)	85 (0.6)	86 (0.7)	
Second Quartile	60 (1.3)	79 (1.3)	82 (0.9)	85 (0.6)	
Third Quartile	57 (1.2)	77 (1.2)	82 (1.0)	84 (0.7)	
Highest Quartile	61 (1.6)	79 (1.5)	81 (1.0)	82 (0.8)	
School Size					
Smallest Schools	53 (1.1)	75 (1.4)	80 (0.9)	84 (0.8)	
Second Group	57 (1.1)	78 (1.0)	82 (0.8)	84 (0.6)	
Third Group	61 (1.2)	78 (1.2)	84 (0.7)	84 (0.6)	
Largest Schools	62 (1.5)	80 (1.4)	83 (0.7)	85 (0.7)	
Community Type					
Rural	57 (1.3)	77 (1.2)	82 (0.8)	84 (0.7)	
Suburban	59 (1.0)	78 (0.9)	83 (0.5)	85 (0.4)	
Urban	59 (1.2)	78 (1.3)	81 (0.8)	83 (0.7)	
Region	, , ,	× /	, <i>, ,</i>	, , ,	
Midwest	55 (1.6)	76 (1.3)	83 (0.9)	83 (0.8)	
Northeast	59 (1.3)	77 (1.6)	84 (1.1)	86 (0.7)	
South	59 (1.0)	79 (0.9)	82 (0.6)	84 (0.6)	
West	61 (1.6)	77 (1.6)	81 (1.0)	83 (0.7)	

Table F-6 (Table 2.38)Class Mean Scores for Mathematics TeacherPerceptions of Preparedness Composites, by Equity Factors

[†] Perceptions of Preparedness to Teach Mathematics Content score was computed only for non-self-contained classes.

	Percent	of Classes
	Science	Mathematics
Prior Achievement Level of Class		
Mostly High Achievers	33 (2.6)	28 (1.8)
Average/Mixed Achievers	19 (1.0)	20 (1.0)
Mostly Low Achievers	25 (2.8)	30 (2.2)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class		
Lowest Quartile	20 (1.9)	19 (1.6)
Second Quartile	19 (1.5)	21 (1.4)
Third Quartile	27 (2.0)	23 (1.7)
Highest Quartile	23 (2.0)	29 (1.9)
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL		
Lowest Quartile	23 (1.8)	21 (2.2)
Second Quartile	20 (1.9)	23 (1.9)
Third Quartile	20 (2.0)	23 (1.6)
Highest Quartile	26 (2.7)	29 (2.0)
School Size		
Smallest Schools	20 (2.1)	20 (1.8)
Second Group	19 (2.1)	22 (1.7)
Third Group	24 (1.8)	22 (1.6)
Largest Schools	25 (1.9)	30 (2.1)
Community Type		
Rural	22 (2.2)	21 (1.7)
Suburban	20 (1.1)	22 (1.2)
Urban	27 (2.1)	28 (1.9)
Region		
Midwest	18 (1.8)	17 (1.4)
Northeast	21 (2.6)	23 (2.2)
South	23 (1.4)	26 (1.4)
West	27 (2.6)	27 (2.0)

Table F-7 (Table 3.4)Classes Taught by Teachers with More than 35 Hours ofProfessional Development in the Last Three Years, by Subject and Equity Factors

	Mear	1 Score
	Science	Mathematics
Prior Achievement Level of Class		
Mostly High Achievers	66 (2.0)	65 (1.1)
Average/Mixed Achievers	60 (0.9)	64 (0.7)
Mostly Low Achievers	60 (2.7)	64 (1.2)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class		
Lowest Quartile	56 (2.0)	58 (1.2)
Second Quartile	61 (1.7)	64 (1.1)
Third Quartile	62 (1.5)	67 (1.5)
Highest Quartile	65 (1.5)	66 (1.1)
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL		
Lowest Quartile	60 (1.6)	65 (1.7)
Second Quartile	61 (1.7)	63 (1.2)
Third Quartile	64 (2.2)	64 (1.2)
Highest Quartile	62 (1.4)	65 (1.4)
School Size		
Smallest Schools	56 (2.1)	61 (1.4)
Second Group	62 (1.6)	63 (1.3)
Third Group	63 (1.3)	64 (0.9)
Largest Schools	63 (1.3)	68 (1.4)
Community Type		
Rural	59 (1.8)	62 (1.0)
Suburban	62 (1.1)	64 (0.9)
Urban	62 (1.7)	66 (1.3)
Region		
Midwest	59 (1.6)	60 (1.2)
Northeast	59 (2.4)	64 (1.3)
South	63 (1.3)	67 (1.1)
West	63 (1.5)	64 (1.5)

Table F-8 (Table 3.10)Class Mean Scores for the Quality of ProfessionalDevelopment Composite, by Subject and Equity Factors

	Mea	n Score
	Science	Mathematics
Prior Achievement Level of Class		
Mostly High Achievers	59 (2.3)	45 (1.9)
Average/Mixed Achievers	48 (1.3)	48 (1.2)
Mostly Low Achievers	51 (3.8)	51 (1.5)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class		
Lowest Quartile	45 (2.1)	42 (1.8)
Second Quartile	49 (2.1)	44 (1.7)
Third Quartile	51 (2.8)	50 (1.5)
Highest Quartile	53 (2.6)	55 (1.7)
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL		
Lowest Quartile	53 (2.4)	48 (1.7)
Second Quartile	47 (2.0)	49 (1.9)
Third Quartile	47 (2.9)	48 (1.6)
Highest Quartile	53 (2.6)	51 (1.8)
School Size		
Smallest Schools	49 (2.2)	41 (2.0)
Second Group	46 (2.6)	47 (1.3)
Third Group	49 (2.3)	50 (1.6)
Largest Schools	55 (2.9)	53 (1.9)
Community Type		
Rural	49 (2.2)	45 (1.9)
Suburban	48 (1.6)	49 (1.1)
Urban	54 (2.5)	49 (1.6)
Region		
Midwest	43 (2.3)	40 (1.9)
Northeast	49 (2.7)	48 (1.8)
South	56 (1.7)	54 (1.1)
West	44 (2.9)	45 (1.8)

Table F-9 (Table 3.16)Class Mean Scores on the Extent to Which Professional Development/CourseworkFocused on Student-Centered Instruction Composite, by Subject and Equity Factors

	Percent of Schools		
		One-on-One	Assistance to
	Science-Focused	Science-Focused	Science Teachers
	Study Groups	Coaching	in Need [†]
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL			
Lowest Quartile	34 (4.7)	16 (3.1)	81 (4.0)
Second Quartile	34 (4.1)	17 (3.9)	78 (3.3)
Third Quartile	49 (4.0)	18 (2.6)	79 (3.6)
Highest Quartile	40 (4.2)	28 (3.8)	86 (3.0)
School Size			
Smallest Schools	35 (4.6)	14 (2.4)	82 (2.8)
Second Group	41 (4.2)	21 (3.0)	80 (3.3)
Third Group	41 (4.1)	24 (3.1)	83 (3.5)
Largest Schools	49 (3.9)	30 (4.1)	81 (3.8)
Community Type			
Rural	42 (4.4)	11 (2.2)	80 (3.1)
Suburban	38 (3.2)	20 (2.1)	83 (2.3)
Urban	38 (4.0)	30 (2.8)	80 (3.7)
Region			
Midwest	39 (5.3)	11 (2.0)	76 (4.1)
Northeast	34 (4.6)	28 (5.0)	88 (3.0)
South	43 (3.8)	24 (2.3)	85 (2.2)
West	39 (4.5)	18 (3.5)	76 (4.1)

Table F-10 (Table 3.33) Schools Providing Various Services to Science Teachers, by Equity Factors

Assistance defined as guidance from a formally designated mentor or coach; seminars, classes, and/or study groups; or a higher level of supervision than for other teachers.

	Percent of Schools		
	Mathematics-	One-on-One	Assistance to
	Focused Study	Mathematics-	Mathematics
	Groups	Focused Coaching	Teachers in Need ^{\dagger}
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL			
Lowest Quartile	39 (4.8)	22 (3.6)	76 (5.5)
Second Quartile	46 (4.9)	26 (4.5)	87 (4.0)
Third Quartile	56 (4.0)	29 (3.8)	90 (3.0)
Highest Quartile	61 (4.4)	41 (3.9)	81 (3.3)
School Size			
Smallest Schools	40 (4.4)	22 (3.0)	78 (4.2)
Second Group	52 (4.5)	30 (3.3)	86 (3.6)
Third Group	55 (3.8)	31 (3.5)	87 (2.8)
Largest Schools	67 (4.1)	43 (4.1)	91 (2.7)
Community Type			
Rural	48 (4.5)	18 (2.8)	84 (3.5)
Suburban	47 (3.4)	25 (2.5)	85 (3.0)
Urban	54 (4.2)	47 (4.0)	80 (3.2)
Region			
Midwest	49 (4.6)	17 (2.9)	77 (5.5)
Northeast	37 (4.9)	30 (4.9)	88 (3.5)
South	54 (3.7)	33 (3.1)	92 (1.8)
West	55 (5.1)	35 (3.7)	74 (4.6)

 Table F-11 (Table 3.34)

 Schools Providing Various Services to Mathematics Teachers, by Equity Factors

Assistance defined as guidance from a formally designated mentor or coach; seminars, classes, and/or study groups; or a higher level of supervision than for other teachers.

	Average Number of Courses
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL	
Lowest Quartile	2.0 (0.2)
Second Quartile	1.5 (0.3)
Third Quartile	1.1 (0.2)
Highest Quartile	1.1 (0.2)
School Size	
Smallest Schools	0.7 (0.1)
Second Group	1.2 (0.2)
Third Group	2.1 (0.2)
Largest Schools	2.8 (0.2)
Community Type	
Rural	0.7 (0.1)
Suburban	1.7 (0.2)
Urban	1.7 (0.3)
Region	
Midwest	0.8 (0.1)
Northeast	1.9 (0.2)
South	1.3 (0.1)
West	1.4 (0.2)

Table F-12 (Table 4.7)Average Number of AP Science CoursesOffered at High Schools, by Equity Factors

	Sturiuna Scomery Thorics > Stude, Sy Equity Factors				
	Percent of 8 th Grade Student				
	Algebra 1	Geometry			
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL					
Lowest Quartile	46 (6.1)	13 (3.4)			
Second Quartile	26 (4.5)	2 (0.6)			
Third Quartile	31 (5.9)	2 (0.8)			
Highest Quartile	28 (3.9)	6 (1.9)			
School Size					
Smallest Schools	33 (4.6)	4 (1.4)			
Second Group	34 (4.1)	7 (2.3)			
Third Group	39 (4.0)	5 (1.8)			
Largest Schools	42 (3.1)	5 (0.7)			
Community Type					
Rural	27 (4.4)	3 (1.7)			
Suburban	38 (3.2)	5 (1.5)			
Urban	42 (4.7)	7 (1.9)			
Region					
Midwest	31 (4.4)	4 (1.5)			
Northeast	42 (6.2)	7 (2.9)			
South	27 (3.4)	4 (1.4)			
West	46 (6.3)	6 (2.2)			

Table F-13 (Table 4.10)Average Percentage of 8th Graders CompletingAlgebra I and Geometry Prior to 9th Grade, by Equity Factors

	Average Number of Courses
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL	
Lowest Quartile	1.4 (0.2)
Second Quartile	1.1 (0.2)
Third Quartile	0.8 (0.1)
Highest Quartile	0.7 (0.1)
School Size	
Smallest Schools	0.6 (0.1)
Second Group	0.9 (0.1)
Third Group	1.6 (0.1)
Largest Schools	2.1 (0.1)
Community Type	
Rural	0.6 (0.1)
Suburban	1.2 (0.1)
Urban	1.3 (0.2)
Region	
Midwest	0.8 (0.1)
Northeast	1.3 (0.2)
South	1.0 (0.1)
West	1.0 (0.1)

Table F-14 (Table 4.14)Average Number of AP MathematicsCourses Offered at High Schools, by Equity Factors

Keloi m-Orienteu instructional Objectives Composite, by Equity Factors					
	Mean Score				
Prior Achievement Level of Class					
Mostly High Achievers	86 (0.6)				
Average/Mixed Achievers	81 (0.4)				
Mostly Low Achievers	77 (1.5)				
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class					
Lowest Quartile	82 (0.8)				
Second Quartile	81 (0.6)				
Third Quartile	81 (0.9)				
Highest Quartile	80 (0.9)				
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL					
Lowest Quartile	84 (0.8)				
Second Quartile	80 (0.8)				
Third Quartile	81 (0.8)				
Highest Quartile	80 (0.9)				
School Size					
Smallest Schools	81 (0.7)				
Second Group	81 (0.7)				
Third Group	81 (0.8)				
Largest Schools	82 (0.9)				
Community Type					
Rural	81 (0.8)				
Suburban	81 (0.6)				
Urban	81 (0.7)				
Region					
Midwest	80 (0.8)				
Northeast	81 (0.9)				
South	82 (0.6)				
West	79 (0.9)				

Table F-15 (Table 5.7)Science Class Mean Scores on theReform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite, by Equity Factors

Celor in-Orienteu Instructional Objectives Composite, by Equity Factors				
	Mean Score			
Prior Achievement Level of Class				
Mostly High Achievers	85 (0.6)			
Average/Mixed Achievers	80 (0.4)			
Mostly Low Achievers	77 (0.7)			
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class				
Lowest Quartile	80 (0.7)			
Second Quartile	80 (0.5)			
Third Quartile	80 (0.6)			
Highest Quartile	81 (0.6)			
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL				
Lowest Quartile	82 (0.8)			
Second Quartile	79 (0.6)			
Third Quartile	80 (0.6)			
Highest Quartile	80 (0.8)			
School Size				
Smallest Schools	79 (0.8)			
Second Group	79 (0.6)			
Third Group	81 (0.6)			
Largest Schools	82 (0.7)			
Community Type				
Rural	80 (0.7)			
Suburban	80 (0.4)			
Urban	81 (0.7)			
Region				
Midwest	79 (0.6)			
Northeast	80 (0.6)			
South	83 (0.5)			
West	77 (0.7)			

Table F-16 (Table 5.10)Mathematics Class Mean Scores on theReform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite, by Equity Factors

	Mean	
	Use of Reform- Oriented Teaching Practices	Use of Instructional Technology
Prior Achievement Level of Class		C.
Mostly High Achievers	63 (0.8)	33 (1.6)
Average/Mixed Achievers	60 (0.4)	27 (0.8)
Mostly Low Achievers	59 (1.1)	25 (1.7)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class		. ,
Lowest Quartile	60 (0.6)	28 (1.2)
Second Quartile	60 (0.9)	28 (1.2)
Third Quartile	59 (0.8)	27 (1.1)
Highest Quartile	61 (0.8)	25 (1.4)
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL		
Lowest Quartile	63 (0.8)	29 (1.0)
Second Quartile	60 (0.9)	28 (1.3)
Third Quartile	60 (0.6)	27 (1.4)
Highest Quartile	60 (0.9)	26 (1.2)
School Size		
Smallest Schools	59 (0.9)	30 (1.1)
Second Group	60 (0.7)	25 (1.1)
Third Group	61 (0.7)	28 (1.2)
Largest Schools	61 (0.8)	27 (1.3)
Community Type		
Rural	59 (0.7)	28 (1.1)
Suburban	60 (0.7)	27 (0.8)
Urban	62 (0.7)	27 (1.3)
Region		
Midwest	58 (0.7)	27 (1.0)
Northeast	61 (1.1)	27 (1.5)
South	61 (0.6)	28 (1.1)
West	61 (1.0)	27 (1.5)

 Table F-17 (Table 5.16)

 Class Mean Scores on Science Teaching Practice Composites, by Equity Factors

	Mean	
	Use of Reform-	Use of
	Oriented Teaching	Instructional
	Practices	Technology
Prior Achievement Level of Class		
Mostly High Achievers	74 (0.7)	27 (1.3)
Average/Mixed Achievers	72 (0.5)	28 (0.9)
Mostly Low Achievers	70 (0.9)	30 (1.1)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class		
Lowest Quartile	71 (0.8)	27 (1.2)
Second Quartile	72 (0.7)	27 (1.4)
Third Quartile	72 (0.7)	30 (1.4)
Highest Quartile	73 (0.7)	29 (1.4)
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL		
Lowest Quartile	74 (0.8)	27 (1.4)
Second Quartile	71 (0.8)	29 (1.6)
Third Quartile	73 (0.6)	29 (1.5)
Highest Quartile	72 (0.9)	31 (1.9)
School Size		
Smallest Schools	72 (0.9)	31 (1.4)
Second Group	71 (0.9)	29 (1.5)
Third Group	72 (0.6)	28 (1.4)
Largest Schools	73 (0.9)	26 (1.7)
Community Type		
Rural	71 (0.8)	29 (1.5)
Suburban	72 (0.5)	28 (0.9)
Urban	73 (0.8)	28 (1.5)
Region		
Midwest	69 (0.7)	28 (1.5)
Northeast	75 (0.7)	28 (1.8)
South	74 (0.6)	31 (1.2)
West	68 (0.9)	23 (1.4)

 Table F-18 (Table 5.24)

 Class Mean Scores on Mathematics Teaching Practice Composites, by Equity Factors

	Percent	of Classes
	Science	Mathematics
Prior Achievement Level of Class		
Mostly High Achievers	36 (3.1)	60 (2.6)
Average/Mixed Achievers	36 (1.7)	71 (1.4)
Mostly Low Achievers	53 (3.6)	76 (2.2)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class		
Lowest Quartile	26 (2.4)	56 (2.4)
Second Quartile	30 (2.6)	65 (2.0)
Third Quartile	38 (3.3)	71 (2.1)
Highest Quartile	52 (2.4)	83 (1.5)
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL		
Lowest Quartile	33 (2.9)	66 (2.4)
Second Quartile	35 (2.4)	73 (1.9)
Third Quartile	45 (3.5)	75 (1.9)
Highest Quartile	50 (3.0)	81 (1.7)
School Size		
Smallest Schools	30 (3.0)	61 (2.7)
Second Group	36 (3.0)	68 (2.2)
Third Group	39 (3.3)	75 (1.8)
Largest Schools	47 (2.6)	75 (1.9)
Community Type		
Rural	34 (2.6)	69 (2.0)
Suburban	39 (2.0)	68 (1.7)
Urban	40 (2.9)	74 (2.0)
Region		
Midwest	33 (2.2)	65 (2.1)
Northeast	21 (3.0)	60 (3.3)
South	50 (2.7)	75 (1.7)
West	33 (3.4)	72 (2.2)

Table F-19 (Table 5.31)Classes Required to Take External Assessments Twoor More Times per Year, by Subject and Equity Factors

• •	Percent of Classes							
	Non-			Probes For				
	Grar	ohing	gran	hing	Colle	ecting		
	-	lators	<u> </u>	lators		ata	Micro	scopes
Prior Achievement Level of Class								
Mostly High Achievers	39	(3.6)	79	(3.3)	58	(4.7)	82	(3.0)
Average/Mixed Achievers	23	(1.5)	77	(1.6)	43	(2.1)	63	(2.0)
Mostly Low Achievers	18	(3.3)	61	(6.0)	34	(4.4)	59	(5.1)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in								
Class								
Lowest Quartile	31	(3.1)	84	(2.3)	46	(4.0)	63	(3.5)
Second Quartile	25	(2.7)	78	(2.4)	47	(3.4)	67	(3.6)
Third Quartile	17	(2.1)	79	(3.9)	43	(3.3)	72	(2.8)
Highest Quartile	23	(3.3)	65	(3.5)	39	(3.2)	57	(3.9)
Percent of Students in School Eligible for								
FRL								
Lowest Quartile	22	(2.4)	73	(4.5)	48	(3.8)	73	(2.7)
Second Quartile	22	(2.7)	80	(3.2)	39	(3.8)	68	(3.2)
Third Quartile	27	(3.6)	79	(2.5)	48	(3.5)	63	(3.2)
Highest Quartile	24	(2.8)	70	(3.3)	41	(3.4)	60	(3.9)
School Size								
Smallest Schools	32	(3.1)	81	(3.0)	48	(3.0)	66	(3.2)
Second Group	19	(2.4)	75	(3.3)	38	(3.6)	67	(3.4)
Third Group	23	(2.4)	75	(2.8)	50	(3.4)	67	(3.6)
Largest Schools	25	(3.4)	70	(3.2)	41	(3.5)	62	(3.6)
Community Type								
Rural	27	(2.7)	80	(2.4)	43	(3.5)	68	(2.9)
Suburban	24	(1.7)	76	(2.4)	44	(2.5)	63	(2.1)
Urban	23	(3.2)	70	(2.9)	45	(3.3)	68	(3.2)
Region								
Midwest	24	(2.6)	83	(2.7)	42	(3.4)	66	(3.7)
Northeast	26	(3.0)	79	(4.0)	40	(4.0)	65	(3.6)
South	27	(2.6)	73	(2.7)	46	(2.9)	65	(2.5)
West	16	(2.4)	66	(3.6)	45	(3.8)	66	(3.9)

Table F-20 (Table 6.16)Availability[†] of Instructional Technologies in Science Classes, by Equity Factors

[†] Availability defined as having at least one instructional technology per small group (4–5 students).

		Percent of Classes			
	Scientific	Graphing	Probes For		
	Calculators	Calculators	Collecting Data		
Prior Achievement Level of Class					
Mostly High Achievers	60 (3.0)	61 (2.7)	27 (2.7)		
Average/Mixed Achievers	39 (2.0)	33 (1.6)	18 (1.4)		
Mostly Low Achievers	55 (3.7)	50 (3.3)	23 (2.3)		
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class					
Lowest Quartile	58 (2.4)	53 (2.6)	30 (2.4)		
Second Quartile	50 (3.5)	44 (3.0)	18 (2.2)		
Third Quartile	43 (3.1)	39 (3.2)	20 (3.0)		
Highest Quartile	37 (3.2)	34 (3.2)	16 (2.0)		
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL					
Lowest Quartile	52 (3.5)	47 (3.0)	22 (2.9)		
Second Quartile	44 (2.8)	41 (3.2)	17 (2.6)		
Third Quartile	44 (3.2)	37 (3.0)	26 (2.6)		
Highest Quartile	41 (2.9)	38 (3.5)	18 (2.1)		
School Size					
Smallest Schools	47 (3.2)	43 (3.3)	24 (2.5)		
Second Group	46 (3.0)	38 (2.5)	22 (2.2)		
Third Group	46 (3.6)	43 (3.5)	19 (2.1)		
Largest Schools	43 (2.9)	42 (3.3)	18 (2.9)		
Community Type					
Rural	50 (3.1)	47 (3.1)	25 (2.6)		
Suburban	48 (2.1)	42 (2.1)	19 (1.5)		
Urban	38 (2.8)	36 (2.6)	19 (1.9)		
Region					
Midwest	55 (3.7)	42 (3.6)	20 (2.2)		
Northeast	48 (3.3)	42 (2.9)	20 (2.6)		
South	41 (2.4)	43 (2.3)	25 (2.1)		
West	42 (3.2)	37 (3.0)	12 (2.0)		

Table F-21 (Table 6.18)Availability[†] of Instructional Technologies in Mathematics Classes, by Equity Factors

[†] Availability defined as having at least one instructional technology per small group (4–5 students).

	Median Amount				
	Equipment	Consumable Supplies	Software	Total	
Percent of Students in School					
Eligible for FRL					
Lowest Quartile	\$ 0.63 (0.2)	\$ 1.67 (0.5)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 3.56 (0.8)	
Second Quartile	$0.27 (0.1)^{\dagger}$	\$ 0.98 (0.3)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 1.85 (0.5)	
Third Quartile	\$ 0.57 (0.2)	\$ 1.17 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 2.47 (0.6)	
Highest Quartile	$0.35 (0.4)^{\dagger}$	\$ 0.65 (0.1)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 1.54 (0.5)	
School Size					
Smallest Schools	\$ 0.78 (0.2)	\$ 1.95 (0.4)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 3.94 (0.5)	
Second Group	$0.30 (0.1)^{\dagger}$	\$ 1.08 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 1.96 (0.4)	
Third Group	\$ 0.40 (0.1)	\$ 0.95 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 1.82 (0.4)	
Largest Schools	\$ 0.44 (0.1)	\$ 0.79 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 2.04 (0.4)	
Community Type					
Rural	\$ 0.81 (0.2)	\$ 1.63 (0.3)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 3.78 (0.4)	
Suburban	\$ 0.39 (0.1)	\$ 1.40 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 2.49 (0.3)	
Urban	\$ 0.34 (0.2)	\$ 0.98 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 1.91 (0.7)	
Region					
Midwest	\$ 0.55 (0.2)	\$ 1.80 (0.5)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 3.18 (0.7)	
Northeast	\$ 1.34 (0.3)	\$ 1.99 (0.5)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 4.15 (1.0)	
South	\$ 0.56 (0.1)	\$ 0.92 (0.1)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 2.42 (0.4)	
West	\$ 0.14 (0.3) [†]	\$ 0.99 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 1.45 (0.5)	

Table F-22 (Table 6.21)Median Amount Schools Spend per Pupil on ScienceEquipment, Consumable Supplies, and Software, by Equity Factors

Standard errors for medians are typically computed in Wesvar 5.1 using the Woodruff method. Wesvar was unable to compute a standard error for this estimate using this method; thus, the potentially less-consistent replication standard error is reported.

¹ It was not possible to compute a standard error using either the Woodruff or the replication methods.

,,,	Median Amount					
	Equipment	Consumable Supplies	Software	Total		
Percent of Students in School						
Eligible for FRL						
Lowest Quartile	\$ 0.93 (0.2)	\$ 1.06 (0.3)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 3.60 (0.8)		
Second Quartile	\$ 0.82 (0.2)	\$ 0.66 (0.1)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 2.75 (0.4)		
Third Quartile	\$ 1.02 (0.2)	\$ 0.99 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 3.69 (0.6)		
Highest Quartile	\$ 0.92 (0.1)	\$ 0.65 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 3.37 (1.0)		
School Size						
Smallest Schools	\$ 1.11 (0.2)	\$ 0.86 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 3.93 (0.8)		
Second Group	\$ 0.82 (0.2)	\$ 0.68 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 3.44 (0.5)		
Third Group	\$ 0.66 (0.1)	\$ 0.92 (0.2)	$0.09 (0.4)^{\dagger}$	\$ 2.75 (0.4)		
Largest Schools	\$ 0.68 (0.2)	\$ 0.61 (0.1)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 2.06 (0.5)		
Community Type						
Rural	\$ 1.29 (0.3)	\$ 1.01 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 4.58 (0.7)		
Suburban	\$ 0.81 (0.1)	\$ 0.89 (0.1)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 2.98 (0.5)		
Urban	\$ 0.58 (0.1)	\$ 0.49 (0.1)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 2.45 (0.5)		
Region						
Midwest	\$ 0.72 (0.2)	\$ 0.70 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 3.25 (0.6)		
Northeast	\$ 2.22 (0.5)	\$ 1.11 (0.4)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 5.18 (1.4)		
South	\$ 0.89 (0.2)	\$ 0.64 (0.1)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 2.93 (0.5)		
West	\$ 0.72 (0.2)	\$ 0.91 (0.2)	\$ 0.00 [‡]	\$ 2.19 (0.7)		

Table F-23 (Table 6.22)Median Amount Schools Spend per Pupil on MathematicsEquipment, Consumable Supplies, and Software, by Equity Factors

Standard errors for medians are typically computed in Wesvar 5.1 using the Woodruff method. Wesvar was unable to compute a standard error for this estimate using this method; thus, the potentially less-consistent replication standard error is reported.

¹ It was not possible to compute a standard error using either the Woodruff or the replication methods.

	Mear	n Score
	Science	Mathematics
Prior Achievement Level of Class		
Mostly High Achievers	69 (1.6)	74 (0.9)
Average/Mixed Achievers	56 (0.9)	70 (0.7)
Mostly Low Achievers	47 (2.4)	68 (1.4)
Percent of Non-Asian Minority Students in Class		
Lowest Quartile	60 (1.5)	73 (0.9)
Second Quartile	59 (1.5)	71 (1.1)
Third Quartile	58 (1.3)	70 (1.0)
Highest Quartile	50 (1.7)	69 (1.3)
Percent of Students in School Eligible for FRL		
Lowest Quartile	64 (1.7)	73 (1.3)
Second Quartile	55 (1.4)	71 (1.0)
Third Quartile	54 (1.5)	69 (1.1)
Highest Quartile	50 (1.7)	68 (1.4)
School Size		
Smallest Schools	55 (1.8)	71 (1.3)
Second Group	57 (1.5)	71 (1.1)
Third Group	57 (1.6)	70 (1.1)
Largest Schools	57 (1.7)	70 (1.1)
Community Type		
Rural	54 (1.5)	71 (1.2)
Suburban	58 (1.1)	71 (0.8)
Urban	57 (1.7)	70 (0.9)
Region		
Midwest	59 (1.5)	72 (1.0)
Northeast	60 (2.1)	72 (1.2)
South	55 (1.1)	71 (1.0)
West	55 (2.2)	65 (1.2)

Table F-24 (Table 6.26)Class Mean Scores on the Adequacy ofResources for Instruction Composite, by Equity Factors

Science Instruction Composites, by Equity Factors					
	Mean Score				
	Supportive	Extent to	Extent to	Extent to	Extent to
	Context	Which a Lack	Which	Which a Lack	Which
	for	of Materials	Student	of Time for	Teacher
	Science	and Supplies is	Issues are	Science is	Issues are
	Instruction	Problematic	Problematic	Problematic	Problematic
	Instruction	Problematic	Problematic	Problematic	Problematic
Percent of Students in					
School Eligible for FRL					
Lowest Quartile	65 (2.0)	36 (3.8)	17 (2.2)	40 (2.4)	16 (2.1)
Second Quartile	56 (2.0)	38 (2.8)	29 (2.0)	46 (2.6)	26 (2.8)
Third Quartile	61 (1.9)	42 (2.3)	35 (1.9)	45 (2.4)	23 (2.2)
Highest Quartile	59 (2.5)	42 (3.2)	44 (2.2)	45 (3.2)	26 (2.8)
School Size					
Smallest Schools	64 (2.1)	41 (2.4)	26 (1.9)	38 (2.4)	14 (2.1)
Second Group	56 (2.1)	40 (2.4)	32 (1.7)	48 (2.7)	27 (2.3)
Third Group	64 (1.8)	36 (2.4)	32 (2.0)	41 (2.1)	24 (2.3)
Largest Schools	62 (1.6)	37 (2.1)	34 (1.9)	48 (2.4)	29 (2.2)
Community Type					
Rural	60 (1.9)	40 (2.4)	29 (1.9)	40 (2.8)	18 (2.4)
Suburban	62 (1.4)	37 (2.1)	30 (1.6)	44 (1.8)	22 (1.7)
Urban	63 (1.8)	41 (2.8)	31 (2.3)	42 (2.2)	23 (2.2)
Region					
Midwest	59 (2.1)	40 (3.1)	28 (1.8)	42 (2.2)	20 (2.0)
Northeast	62 (1.9)	35 (3.0)	29 (2.5)	43 (2.9)	23 (2.9)
South	66 (1.4)	38 (1.8)	30 (1.5)	39 (1.8)	21 (1.7)
West	58 (3.2)	45 (3.6)	35 (3.2)	47 (4.3)	24 (3.2)

Table F-25 (Table 7.16)School Mean Scores for Factors AffectingScience Instruction Composites, by Equity Factors

Mathematics Instruction Composites, by Equity Factors					
	Mean Score				
	Supportive	Extent to Extent to		Extent to	Extent to
	Context	Which a Lack	Which	Which a Lack	Which
	for	of Materials	Student	of Time for	Teacher
	Mathematics	and Supplies is	Issues are	Mathematics is	Issues are
	Instruction	Problematic	Problematic	Problematic	Problematic
	Instruction	Froblematic	Frodiematic	riobiematic	Froblematic
Percent of Students in					
School Eligible for FRL					
Lowest Quartile	74 (2.4)	26 (2.9)	20 (2.1)	31 (2.0)	9 (1.2)
Second Quartile	70 (2.0)	31 (2.8)	39 (2.3)	37 (3.1)	15 (2.3)
Third Quartile	70 (1.7)	29 (2.6)	44 (2.2)	35 (2.0)	13 (1.8)
Highest Quartile	68 (1.8)	35 (2.8)	50 (1.8)	37 (2.4)	19 (1.8)
School Size					
Smallest Schools	70 (1.9)	31 (2.6)	33 (2.0)	34 (2.5)	11 (1.6)
Second Group	68 (2.0)	30 (2.3)	39 (2.1)	35 (2.4)	13 (1.6)
Third Group	71 (1.6)	31 (2.2)	41 (1.7)	36 (2.1)	16 (1.9)
Largest Schools	74 (1.7)	27 (2.6)	41 (2.0)	36 (2.8)	18 (2.4)
Community Type					
Rural	71 (1.7)	32 (2.7)	34 (2.1)	35 (2.7)	11 (1.2)
Suburban	70 (1.5)	30 (2.1)	37 (1.6)	33 (1.8)	14 (1.6)
Urban	69 (1.6)	29 (2.7)	41 (2.2)	38 (2.6)	15 (2.0)
Region					
Midwest	69 (2.5)	29 (3.0)	31 (1.9)	39 (2.9)	13 (2.0)
Northeast	67 (2.2)	28 (2.9)	38 (2.7)	32 (3.1)	13 (2.6)
South	74 (1.4)	32 (1.9)	39 (1.6)	31 (1.6)	13 (1.1)
West	68 (2.2)	32 (3.1)	42 (2.7)	39 (2.9)	15 (2.1)

Table F-26 (Table 7.17)School Mean Scores for Factors AffectingMathematics Instruction Composites, by Equity Factors

Science Instruction Composites, by Equity Factors					
	Mean Score				
	Extent to Which the Policy Environment	Extent to Which Stakeholders Promote	Extent to Which School Support Promotes	Extent to Which IT Quality is Problematic for	
	Promotes Effective	Effective	Effective		
				Science	
	Instruction	Instruction	Instruction	Instruction	
Prior Achievement Level of					
Class					
Mostly High Achievers	67 (2.3)	76 (1.6)	70 (2.1)	22 (2.1)	
Average/Mixed Achievers	64 (0.7)	66 (0.9)	64 (1.2)	23 (1.0)	
Mostly Low Achievers	59 (2.6)	51 (2.0)	57 (4.0)	31 (3.5)	
Percent of Non-Asian					
Minority Students in Class					
Lowest Quartile	61 (2.2)	68 (1.7)	63 (2.3)	22 (1.7)	
Second Quartile	65 (1.3)	70 (1.4)	65 (2.7)	24 (1.7)	
Third Quartile	64 (1.7)	66 (1.6)	63 (2.0)	22 (1.7)	
Highest Quartile	65 (1.3)	60 (1.3)	64 (1.9)	28 (2.2)	
Percent of Students in					
School Eligible for FRL					
Lowest Quartile	66 (1.7)	75 (1.6)	67 (2.1)	25 (1.8)	
Second Quartile	62 (1.8)	66 (1.5)	61 (2.3)	23 (1.5)	
Third Quartile	64 (2.3)	61 (1.5)	64 (2.6)	23 (1.7)	
Highest Quartile	63 (1.4)	58 (1.5)	63 (2.2)	28 (2.4)	
School Size					
Smallest Schools	64 (1.8)	66 (1.8)	59 (2.3)	24 (1.9)	
Second Group	63 (1.5)	66 (1.5)	65 (1.9)	23 (1.7)	
Third Group	66 (1.4)	66 (1.5)	65 (2.9)	23 (1.7)	
Largest Schools	62 (1.3)	66 (1.4)	66 (2.0)	27 (2.1)	
Community Type					
Rural	64 (1.8)	64 (1.6)	61 (2.1)	24 (1.6)	
Suburban	64 (0.8)	65 (1.0)	65 (1.4)	24 (1.1)	
Urban	65 (1.8)	69 (1.2)	65 (2.6)	25 (2.3)	
Region	× /	, <i>,</i> ,	× ′	, /	
Midwest	63 (1.1)	67 (1.5)	61 (1.8)	24 (1.9)	
Northeast	62 (2.5)	67 (2.4)	66 (2.7)	23 (1.8)	
South	66 (1.3)	65 (1.1)	65 (2.1)	23 (1.4)	
West	62 (1.5)	66 (1.6)	63 (3.1)	31 (2.5)	

Table F-27 (Table 7.24)Class Mean Scores on Factors AffectingScience Instruction Composites, by Equity Factors

	Mean Score				
	Extent to which Extent to which Extent to Which				
	the Policy	Stakeholders	School Support	IT Quality is	
	Environment	Promote	Promotes	Problematic for	
			0 0 0 0 0 0		
	Promotes Effective	Effective	Effective	Mathematics	
	Instruction	Instruction	Instruction	Instruction	
Prior Achievement Level of					
Class					
Mostly High Achievers	68 (1.9)	76 (1.7)	72 (1.7)	17 (1.3)	
Average/Mixed Achievers	70 (0.8)	66 (1.1)	69 (1.0)	22 (0.9)	
Mostly Low Achievers	65 (1.6)	52 (1.6)	68 (2.4)	25 (1.7)	
Percent of Non-Asian					
Minority Students in Class					
Lowest Quartile	71 (1.1)	66 (1.6)	66 (1.9)	20 (1.2)	
Second Quartile	69 (1.2)	70 (1.3)	69 (1.5)	19 (1.4)	
Third Quartile	68 (1.3)	63 (1.6)	69 (2.1)	22 (1.7)	
Highest Quartile	66 (1.6)	61 (1.8)	72 (2.0)	25 (1.4)	
Percent of Students in					
School Eligible for FRL					
Lowest Quartile	70 (1.2)	72 (1.3)	70 (2.1)	19 (1.1)	
Second Quartile	69 (1.2)	65 (1.3)	70 (1.6)	23 (1.9)	
Third Quartile	69 (1.4)	63 (1.9)	68 (1.9)	23 (1.8)	
Highest Quartile	66 (1.8)	57 (2.1)	69 (2.1)	24 (1.4)	
School Size					
Smallest Schools	70 (1.4)	63 (1.5)	65 (2.4)	23 (1.4)	
Second Group	69 (1.4)	62 (1.6)	68 (1.7)	20 (1.3)	
Third Group	69 (1.4)	66 (1.5)	71 (1.7)	21 (1.4)	
Largest Schools	66 (1.5)	68 (1.4)	73 (1.3)	24 (1.6)	
Community Type					
Rural	71 (1.1)	63 (1.2)	69 (1.5)	19 (1.4)	
Suburban	68 (0.9)	65 (1.3)	68 (1.5)	21 (1.0)	
Urban	67 (1.8)	65 (1.7)	71 (1.5)	25 (1.6)	
Region					
Midwest	70 (1.4)	64 (1.6)	66 (1.6)	21 (1.3)	
Northeast	68 (1.9)	65 (2.1)	69 (2.1)	21 (2.0)	
South	69 (1.1)	66 (1.2)	71 (1.3)	22 (1.2)	
West	65 (1.8)	64 (2.1)	68 (1.8)	23 (1.6)	

Table F-28 (Table 7.25)Class Mean Scores on Factors AffectingMathematics Instruction Composites, by Equity Factors