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## Mathematics Teacher Questionnaire

## Teacher Background and Opinions

1. How many years have you taught prior to this school year: [Enter each response as a whole number (for example: 15).]
a. any subject at the $\mathrm{K}-12$ level?
b. mathematics at the $\mathrm{K}-12$ level?
c. at this school, any subject?
2. At what grade levels do you currently teach mathematics? [Select all that apply.]

| $\square$ | K-5 |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\square$ | $6-8$ |
| $\square$ | $9-12$ |
| $\square$ | I do not currently teach mathematics. |

3. [Presented to self-contained teachers only]

Which best describes the mathematics instruction provided to the entire class?

- Do not consider pull-out instruction that some students may receive for remediation or enrichment.
- Do not consider instruction provided to individual or small groups of students, for example by an English-language specialist, special educator, or teacher assistant.

| $\circ$ | This class receives mathematics instruction only from you. [Presented only to teachers who answered in Q2 that they <br> teach mathematics] |
| :---: | :--- |
| O | This class receives mathematics instruction from you and other teachers (for example: a mathematics specialist or a <br> teacher you team with). [Presented only to teachers who answered in Q2 that they teach mathematics] |
| O | This class receives mathematics instruction only from another teacher (for example: a mathematics specialist or a teacher <br> you team with). [Presented only to teachers who answered in Q2 that they do not currently teach mathematics] [Teacher <br> ineligible, exit survey] |
|  | This class does not receive mathematics instruction this year. [Presented only to teachers who answered in Q2 that <br> they do not currently teach mathematics] [Teacher ineligible, exit survey] |

4. Omitted - Used only for survey routing.
5. [Presented to self-contained teachers only]

Which best describes your mathematics teaching?

| $\circ$ | I teach mathematics all or most days, every week of the year. |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\circ$ | I teach mathematics every week, but typically three or fewer days each week. |
| - | I teach mathematics some weeks, but typically not every week. |

6. [Presented to self-contained teachers only]

Which best describes your science teaching?

| ○ | I teach science all or most days, every week of the year. |
| :---: | :--- |
| ○ | I teach science every week, but typically three or fewer days each week. |
| ○ | I teach science some weeks, but typically not every week. [Skip to Q8] |
| ○ | I do not teach science. |

7. [Presented to self-contained teachers only]

In a typical week, how many days do you teach lessons on each of the following subjects and how many minutes per week are spent on each subject? [Enter each response as a whole number (for example: 5, 150).]

|  |  |  | NUMBER OF DAYS PER WEEK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | | TOTAL NUMBER OF MINUTES |
| :---: |
| PER WEEK |

8. [Presented to self-contained teachers who skipped Q7 only]

In a typical year, how many weeks do you teach lessons on each of the following subjects and how many minutes per week are spent on each subject? [Enter each response as a whole number (for example: 36, 150).]

|  |  |  | NUMBER OF WEEKS PER YEAR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | AVERAGE NUMBER OF MINUTES |
| :---: |
| PER WEEK WHEN TAUGHT |

9. [Presented to non-self-contained teachers only]

In a typical week, how many different mathematics classes (sections) are you currently teaching?

- If you meet with the same class of students multiple times per week, count that class only once.
- If you teach the same mathematics course to multiple classes of students, count each class separately.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 10. [Presented to non-self-contained teachers only]

For each mathematics class you currently teach, select the course type and enter the number of students enrolled. Enter the classes in the order that you teach them. For teachers on an alternating day block schedule, please order your classes starting with the first class you teach this week. Select one course type on each row and enter the number of students as a whole number (for example: 25).]

| GRADES 9-12 COURSE TYPE | EXAMPLE COURSES |
| :---: | :---: |
| Non-college prep mathematics courses | Developmental Math; High School Arithmetic; Remedial Math; General Math; Vocational Math; Consumer Math; Basic Math; Business Math; Career Math; Practical Math; Essential Math; Pre-Algebra; Introductory Algebra; Algebra 1 Part 1; Algebra 1A; Math A; Basic Geometry; Informal Geometry; Practical Geometry |
| Formal/College prep mathematics level 1 courses | Algebra 1; Math 1; Integrated/Unified Math I; Algebra 1 Part 2; Algebra 1B; Math B |
| Formal/College prep mathematics level 2 courses | Geometry; Plane Geometry; Solid Geometry; Math 2; Integrated/Unified Math II; Math C |
| Formal/College prep mathematics level 3 courses | Algebra 2; Intermediate Algebra; Algebra and Trigonometry; Advanced Algebra; Math 3; Integrated/Unified Math III |
| Formal/College prep mathematics level 4 courses | Algebra 3; Trigonometry; Pre-Calculus; Analytic/Advanced Geometry; Elementary Functions; Integrated Math 4; Unified Math IV; Calculus (not including college level/AP); any other college prep senior math with Algebra 2/Math 3 as a prerequisite |
| Mathematics courses that might qualify for college credit | Advanced Placement Calculus (AB, BC); Advanced Placement Statistics; IB Mathematics Standard Level; IB Mathematics Higher Level; concurrent college and high school credit/dual enrollment |


|  |  | COURSE TYPE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

COURSE TYPE LIST

| 1 | Mathematics (Grades K-5) |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2 | Remedial Mathematics 6 |
| 3 | Regular Mathematics 6 |
| 4 | Accelerated/Pre-Algebra Mathematics 6 |
| 5 | Remedial Mathematics 7 |
| 6 | Regular Mathematics 7 |
| 7 | Accelerated Mathematics 7 |
| 8 | Remedial Mathematics 8 |
| 9 | Regular Mathematics 8 |
| 10 | Accelerated Mathematics 8 |
| 11 | Algebra 1, Grade 7 or 8 |
| 12 | Non-college prep mathematics course (Grades 9-12) |
| 13 | Formal/College prep mathematics level 1 course (Grades 9-42) |
| 14 | Formal/College prep mathematics level 2 course (Grades 9-42) |
| 15 | Formal/College prep mathematics level 3 course (Grades 9-42) |
| 16 | Formal/College prep mathematics level 4 course (Grades 9-2) |
| 17 | Mathematics course that might qualify for college credit (Grades 9-12) |

11. [Presented to non-self-contained teachers only]

Later in this questionnaire, we will ask you questions about your [ $\left.\left[x^{\text {th }}\right]\right]$ mathematics class, which you indicated was [[type indicated in Q10]]. What is your school's title for this course? $\qquad$
12. Have you been awarded one or more bachelor's and/or graduate degrees in the following fields? (With regard to bachelor's degrees, count only areas in which you majored. Do not include endorsements or certificates.) [Select one on each row.]

|  |  | YES | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. | Education (general or subject specific such as mathematics education) | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| b. | Mathematics | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| c. | Statistics | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| d. | Computer Science | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| e. | Engineering | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| f. | Other, please specify. | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |

## 13. [Presented only to teachers that selected "Yes" for Q12a]

What type of education degree do you have? (With regard to bachelor's degrees, count only areas in which you majored.) [Select all that apply.]

| $\square$ | Elementary Education |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\square$ | Mathematics Education |
| $\square$ | Science Education |
| $\square$ | Other education, please specify. |

14. Did you complete any of the following mathematics courses at the undergraduate or graduate level? [Select one on each row.]

|  | YES | NO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Mathematics content for elementary school teachers | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| b. Mathematics content for middle school teachers | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| c. Mathematics content for high school teachers | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| d. Integrated mathematics (a single course that addresses content across multiple mathematics subjects, such as algebra and geometry) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| e. College algebra/trigonometry/functions | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| f. Abstract algebra (for example: groups, rings, ideals, fields) [Presented to grades 6-12 teachers only] | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| g. Linear algebra (for example: vectors, matrices, eigenvalues) [Presented to grades 6-12 teachers only] | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| h. Calculus | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| i. Advanced calculus [Presented to grades 6-12 teachers only] | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| j. Real analysis [Presented to grades 6-12 teachers only] | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| k. Differential equations [Presented to grades 6-12 teachers only] | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| I. Analytic/Coordinate Geometry (for example: transformations or isometries, conic sections) [Presented to grades 6-12 teachers only] | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| m. Axiomatic Geometry (Euclidean or non-Euclidean) [Presented to grades 6-12 teachers only] | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| n. College geometry [Presented to grades K-5 teachers only] | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 0. Probability | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| p. Statistics | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| q. Number theory (for example: divisibility theorems, properties of prime numbers) [Presented to grades 6-12 teachers only] | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| r. Discrete mathematics (for example: combinatorics, graph theory, game theory) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| s. Other upper division mathematics | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

15. Did you complete one or more courses in each of the following areas at the undergraduate or graduate level? [Select one on each row.]

|  |  | YES | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. | Computer science | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| b. | Engineering | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |

16. Which of the following best describes the program you completed to earn your teaching credential (sometimes called certification or license)?

| $\circ$ | An undergraduate program leading to a bachelor's degree and a teaching credential |
| :---: | :--- |
| - | A post-baccalaureate credentialing program (no master's degree awarded) |
| $\circ$ | A master's program that also led to a teaching credential |
| $\circ$ | I have not completed a program to earn a teaching credential. |

17. After completing your undergraduate degree and prior to becoming a teacher, did you have a full-time job in a mathematics-related field (for example: accounting, engineering, computer programming)?

| - | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | No |

## Professional Development

The questions in this section ask about your participation in professional development focused on mathematics or mathematics teaching. When answering these questions, please include:

- face-to-face and/or online courses;
- professional meetings/conferences;
- workshops;
- professional learning communities/lesson studies/teacher study groups; and
- coaching and mentoring.

Do not include:

- courses you took prior to becoming a teacher; and
- time spent providing professional development (including coaching and mentoring) for other teachers.

18. When did you last participate in professional development focused on mathematics or mathematics teaching?
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|l|}\hline \circ & \text { In the last } 12 \text { months } \\ \hline 0 & 1-3 \text { years ago } \\ \hline 0 & 4-6 \text { years ago } \\ \hline 0 & 7-10 \text { years ago } \\ \hline 0 & \text { More than } 10 \text { years ago } \\ \hline 0 & \text { Never } \\ \hline\end{array}\right\}$ Skip to Q23
19. In the last 3 years, which of the following types of professional development related to mathematics or mathematics teaching have you had? [Select one on each row.]

|  |  | YES | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. | I attended a professional development program/workshop. | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| b. | I attended a national, state, or regional mathematics teacher association meeting. | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| c. | I completed an online course/webinar. | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| d. | I participated in a professional learning community/lesson study/teacher study group. | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| e. | I received assistance or feedback from a formally designated coach/mentor. | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| f. | I took a formal course for college credit. | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |

20. What is the total amount of time you have spent on professional development related to mathematics or mathematics teaching in the last 3 years?

| $\circ$ | Less than 6 hours |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\circ$ | $6-15$ hours |
| $\circ$ | $16-35$ hours |
| $\circ$ | $36-80$ hours |
| $\circ$ | More than 80 hours |

21. Considering all of your mathematics-related professional development in the last $\mathbf{3}$ years, to what extent does each of the following describe your experiences? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NOT AT ALL |  | SOMEWHAT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TO A } \\ & \text { GREAT } \\ & \text { EXTENT } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. I had opportunities to engage in mathematics investigations. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| b. I had opportunities to experience lessons, as my students would, from the textbook/units I use in my classroom. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| c. I had opportunities to examine classroom artifacts (for example: student work samples, videos of classroom instruction). | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| d. I had opportunities to rehearse instructional practices during the professional development (meaning: try out, receive feedback, and reflect on those practices). | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| e. I had opportunities to apply what I learned to my classroom and then come back and talk about it as part of the professional development. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| f. I worked closely with other teachers from my school. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| g. I worked closely with other teachers who taught the same grade and/or subject whether or not they were from my school. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |

22. Thinking about all of your mathematics-related professional development in the last $\mathbf{3}$ years, to what extent was each of the following emphasized? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NOT AT ALL |  | SOMEWHAT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Deepening your own mathematics content knowledge |  | (2) | (3) | (4) |  |
| b. Deepening your understanding of how mathematics is done (for example: considering how to approach a problem, explaining and justifying solutions, creating and using mathematical models) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| c. Implementing the mathematics textbook to be used in your classroom | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| d. Learning how to use hands-on activities/manipulatives for mathematics instruction | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| e. Learning about difficulties that students may have with particular mathematical ideas and procedures | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| f. Finding out what students think or already know prior to instruction on a topic | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| g. Monitoring student understanding during mathematics instruction | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| h. Differentiating mathematics instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| i. Incorporating students' cultural backgrounds into mathematics instruction | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| j. Learning how to provide mathematics instruction that integrates engineering, science, and/or computer science | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |

## Preparedness to Teach Mathematics

## 23. [Presented to self-contained teachers only]

Many teachers feel better prepared to teach some subject areas than others. How well prepared do you feel to teach each of the following subjects at the grade level(s) you teach, whether or not they are currently included in your teaching responsibilities? [Select one on each row.]

|  |  | SOMEWHAT PREPARED | FAIRLY WELL PREPARED | VERY WELL PREPARED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Number and Operations | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| b. Early Algebra | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| c. Geometry | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| d. Measurement and Data Representation | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| e. Science | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| f. Computer science/Programming | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| g. Reading/Language Arts | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| h. Social Studies | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |

24. [Presented to non-self-contained teachers only]

Within mathematics, many teachers feel better prepared to teach some topics than others. How prepared do you feel to teach each of the following topics at the grade level(s) you teach, whether or not they are currently included in your teaching responsibilities? [Select one on each row.]

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOT } \\ \text { ADEQUATELY } \\ \text { PREPARED } \end{gathered}$ | SOMEWHAT PREPARED | FAIRLY WELL PREPARED | VERY WELL PREPARED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The number system and operations | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| b. Algebraic thinking | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| c. Functions | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| d. Modeling | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| e. Measurement | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| f. Geometry | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| g. Statistics and probability | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| h. Discrete mathematics | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| i. Computer science/Programming | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |

25. How well prepared do you feel to do each of the following in your mathematics instruction? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NOT ADEQUATELY PREPARED | SOMEWHAT PREPARED | FAIRLY WELL PREPARED | VERY WELL PREPARED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Develop students' conceptual understanding of the mathematical ideas you teach | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| b. Develop students' abilities to do mathematics (for example: consider how to approach a problem, explain and justify solutions, create and use mathematical models) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| c. Develop students' awareness of STEM careers | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| d. Provide mathematics instruction that is based on students' ideas (whether completely correct or not) about the topics you teach | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| e. Use formative assessment to monitor student learning | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| f. Differentiate mathematics instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| g. Incorporate students' cultural backgrounds into mathematics instruction | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| h. Encourage students' interest in mathematics | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| i. Encourage participation of all students in mathematics | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |

## Opinions about Mathematics Instruction

26. Please provide your opinion about each of the following statements. [Select one on each row.]

|  | STRONGLY DISAGREE | DISAGREE | NO OPINION | AGREE | STRONGLY AGREE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Students learn mathematics best in classes with students of similar abilities. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| b. It is better for mathematics instruction to focus on ideas in depth, even if that means covering fewer topics. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| c. At the beginning of instruction on a mathematical idea, students should be provided with definitions for new mathematics vocabulary that will be used. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| d. Teachers should explain an idea to students before having them investigate the idea. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| e. Most class periods should provide opportunities for students to share their thinking and reasoning. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| f. Hands-on activities/manipulatives should be used primarily to reinforce a mathematical idea that the students have already learned. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| g. Teachers should ask students to justify their mathematical thinking. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| h. Students learn best when instruction is connected to their everyday lives. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| i. Most class periods should provide opportunities for students to apply mathematical ideas to real-world contexts. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| j. Students should learn mathematics by doing mathematics (for example: considering how to approach a problem, explaining and justifying solutions, creating and using mathematical models). | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |

## Leadership Experiences

## 27. In the last 3 years have you... [Select one on each row.]

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|ll|c|c|}\hline & & \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\
\hline \text { a. } & \text { Served as a lead teacher or department chair in mathematics? } & \circ & \circ \\
\hline \text { b. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Served as a formal mentor or coach for a mathematics teacher? (Do not include supervision of student } \\
\text { teachers.) }\end{array} & \circ & \circ \\
\hline \text { c. } & \text { Supervised a student teacher in your classroom? } & \circ & \circ \\
\hline \text { d. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Served on a school or district/diocese-wide mathematics committee (for example: developing curriculum, } \\
\text { developing pacing guides, selecting instructional materials)? }\end{array}
$$ \& \circ \& \circ <br>
\hline e. \& Led or co-led a workshop or professional learning community (for example: teacher study group, lesson <br>

study) for other teachers focused on mathematics or mathematics teaching?\end{array}\right) \quad \circ\)| $\circ$ |
| :---: |
| f. |
| Taught a mathematics lesson for other teachers in your school to observe? |

## Your Mathematics Instruction

The rest of this questionnaire is about your $\left[\left[x^{t h}\right]\right]$ mathematics class, which you indicated was [[type indicated in Q10]] and is titled [[title provided in Q11]]. [Instructions presented to non-self-contained teachers only]

The rest of this questionnaire is about your mathematics instruction in this class. [Instructions presented to self-contained teachers only]
28. [Presented to non-self-contained teachers only]

On average, how many minutes per week does this class meet? [Enter your response as a whole number (for example: 300).] $\qquad$
29. Enter the number of students for each grade represented in this class. [Enter each response as a whole number (for example: 15).]

| Kindergarten |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ grade |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade |  |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| $11^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| $12^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |

30. For the [[sum of Q29]] students in this class, indicate the number of males and females in each of the following categories of race/ethnicity. [Enter each response as a whole number (for example: 15).]

|  |  |  | MALES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. | American Indian or Alaskan Native |  | FEMALES |
| b. | Asian |  |  |
| c. | Black or African American |  |  |
| d. | Hispanic or Latino |  |  |
| e. | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander |  |  |
| f. | White |  |  |
| g. | Two or more races |  |  |

31. Which of the following best describes the prior mathematics achievement levels of the students in this class relative to other students in this school?

| ○ | Mostly low achievers |
| :---: | :--- |
| ○ | Mostly average achievers |
| ○ | Mostly high achievers |
| ○ | A mixture of levels |

32. How much control do you have over each of the following for mathematics instruction in this class? [Select one on each row.]

|  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { NO } \\ \text { CONTROL }\end{array}$ |  | MODERATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| CONTROL |  |  |  |  |$)$

33. Think about your plans for this class for the entire course/year. By the end of the course/ year, how much emphasis will each of the following student objectives receive? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NONE | MINIMAL EMPHASIS | MODERATE EMPHASIS | $\begin{gathered} \text { HEAVY } \\ \text { EMPHASIS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Learning mathematics vocabulary | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| b. Learning mathematical procedures and/or algorithms | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| c. Learning to perform computations with speed and accuracy | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| d. Understanding mathematical ideas | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| e. Learning how to do mathematics (for example: consider how to approach a problem, explain and justify solutions, create and use mathematical models) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| f. Learning about real-life applications of mathematics | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| g. Increasing students' interest in mathematics | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| h. Developing students' confidence that they can successfully pursue careers in mathematics | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| i. Learning test-taking skills/strategies | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |

34. How often do you do each of the following in your mathematics instruction in this class? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NEVER | RARELY (FOR <br> EXAMPLE: A FEW TIMES A YEAR) | SOMETIMES (FOR <br> EXAMPLE: ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH) | OFTEN (FOR EXAMPLE: ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK) | ALL OR ALMOST ALL MATHEMATI CS LESSONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Explain mathematical ideas to the whole class | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| b. Engage the whole class in discussions | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| c. Have students work in small groups | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| d. Provide manipulatives for students to use in problemsolving/investigations | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| e. Use flipped instruction (have students watch lectures/demonstrations outside of class to prepare for in-class activities) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| f. Have students read from a textbook or other material in class, either aloud or to themselves | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| g. Have students write their reflections (for example: in their journals, on exit tickets) in class or for homework | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| h. Focus on literacy skills (for example: informational reading or writing strategies) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| i. Have students practice for standardized tests | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |

35. How often do you have students do each of the following during mathematics instruction in this class? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NEVER | RARELY (FOR <br> EXAMPLE: A FEW TIMES A YEAR) | SOMETIMES (FOR EXAMPLE: ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH) | OFTEN (FOR EXAMPLE: ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK) | ALL OR ALMOST ALL MATHEMATICS LESSONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Work on challenging problems that require thinking beyond just applying rules, algorithms, or procedures | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| b. Figure out what a challenging problem is asking (by talking with their classmates and/or using manipulatives, pictures, diagrams, tables, or equations) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| c. Reflect on their solution strategies as they work through a mathematics problem and revise as needed | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| d. Continue working through a mathematics problem when they reach points of difficulty, challenge, or error | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| e. Determine whether their answer makes sense (for example: the answer has reasonable magnitude or sign, uses appropriate units, fits the context of the problem) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| f. Represent aspects of a problem using mathematical symbols, pictures, diagrams, tables, or objects in order to solve it | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| g. Provide mathematical reasoning to explain, justify, or prove their thinking | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| h. Compare and contrast different solution strategies for a mathematics problem in terms of their strengths and limitations (for example: their efficiency, generalizability, interpretability by others) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| i. Analyze the mathematical reasoning of others (for example: decide if their reasoning makes sense, identify correct ideas or flaws in their thinking) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| j. Pose questions to clarify, challenge, or improve the mathematical reasoning of others | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |

k. Identify relevant information and relationships that could be used to solve a mathematics problem (for example: quantities and relationships needed to develop an equation that illustrates a situation or determines an outcome)
(1)
(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
) (5)
I. Develop a mathematical model (meaning, a representation of relevant information and relationships such as an equation, tape diagram, algorithm, or function) to solve a mathematics problem
m. Determine what tools (for
example: pencil and paper,
m. Determine what tools (for
example: pencil and paper, manipulatives, ruler, protractor, manipulatives, ruler, protractor
calculator, spreadsheet) are appropriate for solving a mathematics problem (1) (1) (2) (3)
(4)
(5)
n. Determine what units are appropriate for expressing numerical answers, data, and/or measurements
Discuss how certain terms or
0. Discuss how certain terms or meanings in mathematics that meanings in mathematics that
are different from their meaning in everyday language
p. Identify patterns or characteristics of numbers, diagrams, or graphs that may be helpful in solving a mathematics problem
(1)
(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
q. Work on generating a rule or formula (for example: based on multiple problems, patterns, or repeated calculations)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
36. Thinking about your instruction in this class over the entire year, about how often do you have students use coding to develop or revise computer programs as part of your mathematics instruction (for example: use Scratch or Python as part of doing mathematics)?

| $\circ$ | Never |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\circ$ | Rarely (for example: A few times per year) |
| $\circ$ | Sometimes (for example: Once or twice a month) |
| $\circ$ | Often (for example: Once or twice a week) |
| $\circ$ | All or almost all mathematics lessons |

37. In a typical week, how much time outside of this class are students expected to spend on mathematics assignments?

| $\bigcirc$ | None |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | 1-15 minutes per week |
| - | 16-30 minutes per week |
| $\bigcirc$ | 31-60 minutes per week |
| - | 61-90 minutes per week |
| $\bigcirc$ | 91-120 minutes per week |
| - | More than 2 hours per week |

38. How often are students in this class required to take mathematics tests that you did not choose to administer, for example state assessments or district benchmarks? Do not include Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate exams or students retaking a test because of failure.

| $\circ$ | Never |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\circ$ | Once a year |
| $\circ$ | Twice a year |
| $\circ$ | Three or four times a year |
| $\circ$ | Five or more times a year |

39. Please indicate the availability of projection devices (for example: Smartboard, document camera, LCD projector) for your mathematics instruction in this class.
```
Always available in your classroom
Available upon request
Not available
```

40. Mathematics courses may benefit from the availability of particular resources. Considering what you have available, how adequate is each of the following for teaching this mathematics class? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NOT ADEQUATE |  | SOMEWHAT ADEQUATE |  | ADEQUATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Instructional technology (for example: calculators, computers, probes/sensors) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| b. Measurement tools (for example: protractors, rulers) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| c. Manipulatives (for example: pattern blocks, algebra tiles) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| d. Consumable supplies (for example: graphing paper, batteries) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |

This item asks about different types of instructional materials; please read the entire list of materials before answering
41. Thinking about your instruction in this class over the entire year, about how often is instruction based on materials from each of the following sources? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NEVER | $\begin{gathered} \text { RARELY } \\ \text { (FOR } \\ \text { EXAMPLE: } \\ \text { A FEW } \\ \text { TIMES A } \\ \text { YEAR) } \end{gathered}$ | SOMETIMES (FOR EXAMPLE: ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH) | OFTEN (FOR EXAMPLE: ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK) | ALL OR <br> ALMOST ALL MATHEMATICS LESSONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Commercially published textbooks (printed or electronic), including the supplementary materials (for example: worksheets) that accompany the textbooks | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| b. State, county, or district/diocesedeveloped units or lessons | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| c. Online units or courses that students work through at their own pace (for example: i-Ready, Edgenuity) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| d. Lessons or resources from websites that have a subscription fee or per lesson cost (for example: BrainPOP, Discovery Ed, Teachers Pay Teachers) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| e. Lessons or resources from websites that are free (for example: Khan Academy, Illustrative Math) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| f. Units or lessons you created (either by yourself or with others) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| g. Units or lessons you collected from any other source (for example: conferences, journals, colleagues, university or museum partners) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |

42. Does your school/district/diocese designate instructional materials (textbooks, units, or lessons) to be used in this class?

| ○ | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | No | [Skip to Q44] |

43. Which of the following types of instructional materials does your school/district/diocese designate to be used in this class? [Select all that apply.]

| $\square$ | Commercially published textbooks (printed or electronic), including the supplementary materials (for example: <br> worksheets) that accompany the textbooks |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\square$ | State, county, or district/diocese-developed instructional materials |
| $\square$ | Online units or courses that students work through at their own pace (for example: i-Ready, Edgenuity) |
| $\square$ | Lessons or resources from websites that have a subscription fee or per lesson cost (for example: BrainPOP, Discovery <br> Ed, Teachers Pay Teachers) |
| $\square$ | Lessons or resources from websites that are free (for example: Khan Academy, Illustrative Math) |

44. Omitted - Used only for survey routing.
45. [Presented only to teachers who selected "Sometimes" "Often" or "All" for Q41a or c] [Version for teachers who indicate using a commercial textbook most often] Please indicate the title, author, most recent copyright year, and ISBN code of the commercially published textbook (printed or electronic) used most often by the students in this class.

- The 10 - or 13 -character ISBN code can be found on the copyright page and/or the back cover of the textbook.
- Do not include the dashes when entering the ISBN.

Example ISBN:

[Version for teachers who indicate using an online course most often] Please indicate the title and URL of the online units or courses used most often by the students in this class.

| Title: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| First Author: [for teachers who indicate using a commercial textbook most often] |  |
| Year: [for teachers who indicate using a commercial textbook most often] |  |
| ISBN: [for teachers who indicate using a commercial textbook most often] |  |
| URL: [for teachers who indicate using an online program most often] |  |

46. Please rate how each of the following affects your mathematics instruction in this class. [Select one on each row.]

|  | INHIBITS EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION |  | NEUTRAL OR MIXED |  | PROMOTES EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Current state standards | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |
| b. District/Diocese and/or school pacing guides | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |
| c. State/district/diocese testing/accountability policies [Not presented to non-Catholic private schools] | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | - |
| d. Textbook selection policies | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |
| e. Teacher evaluation policies | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |
| f. College entrance requirements [Presented to grades 9-12 teachers only] | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |
| g. Students' prior knowledge and skills | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |
| h. Students' motivation, interest, and effort in mathematics | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |
| i. Parentguardian expectations and involvement | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |
| j. Principal support | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |
| k. Amount of time for you to plan, individually and with colleagues | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |
| I. Amount of time available for your professional development | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |
| m. Amount of instructional time devoted to mathematics [Presented to grades K5 teachers only] | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | $\bigcirc$ |

## Your Most Recently Completed Mathematics Unit in this Class

The questions in this section are about the most recently completed mathematics unit in this class which you indicated is [type indicated in Q10] and is titled [title provided in Q11].

- Depending on the structure of your class and the instructional materials you use, a unit may range from a few to many class periods.
- Do not be concerned if this unit was not typical of your instruction.

47. Which one of the following best describes the content focus of this unit?

| $\bigcirc$ | Number and operations |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | Measurement and data representation |
| $\bigcirc$ | Algebra |
| $\bigcirc$ | Geometry |
| $\bigcirc$ | Probability |
| $\bigcirc$ | Statistics |
| $\bigcirc$ | Trigonometry |
| $\bigcirc$ | Calculus |

48. [Presented only to teachers who selected "Sometimes" "Often" or "All" for Q41 a or b] Was this unit based primarily on a commercially published textbook or state, county, or district/diocese-developed materials?

| ○ | Yes |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| - | No | [Skip to Q53] |

This next set of items is about the textbook or state, county, or district/diocese-developed lessons you used in this unit.
49. Please indicate the extent to which you did each of the following while teaching this unit. [Select one on each row.]

|  | NOT AT ALL |  | SOMEWHAT |  | TO A GREAT <br> EXTENT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. $\quad$I used these materials to guide the structure and content <br> emphasis of the unit. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| b.I picked what is important from these materials and <br> skipped the rest. | (1) | (2) | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | (5) |
| c.I incorporated activities (for example: problems, <br> investigations, readings) from other sources to <br> supplement what these materials were lacking. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| d. I modified activities from these materials. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |

50. [Presented only to teachers who did not select "Not at all" for Q49b]

During this unit, when you skipped activities (for example: problems, investigations, readings) in these materials, how much was each of the following a factor in your decisions? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NOT A FACTOR | A MINOR FACTOR | A MAJOR FACTOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The mathematical ideas addressed in the activities I skipped are not included in my pacing guide/standards. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| b. I did not have the materials needed to implement the activities I skipped. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| c. I did not have the knowledge needed to implement the activities I skipped. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| d. The activities I skipped were too difficult for my students. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| e. My students already knew the mathematical ideas or were able to learn them without the activities I skipped. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| f. I have different activities for those mathematical ideas that work better than the ones I skipped. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| g. I did not have enough instructional time for the activities I skipped. | (1) | (2) | (3) |

51. [Presented only to teachers who did not select "Not at all" for Q49c]

During this unit, when you supplemented these materials with additional activities, how much was each of the following a factor in your decisions? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NOT A FACTOR | A MINOR FACTOR | A MAJOR FACTOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. My pacing guide indicated that I should use supplemental activities. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| b. Supplemental activities were needed to prepare students for standardized tests. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| c. Supplemental activities were needed to provide students with additional practice. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| d. Supplemental activities were needed so students at different levels of achievement could increase their understanding of the ideas targeted in each activity. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| e. I had additional activities that I liked. | (1) | (2) | (3) |

52. [Presented only to teachers who did not select "Not at all" in Q49d]

During this unit, when you modified activities from these materials, how much was each of the following a factor in your decisions? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NOT A FACTOR | A MINOR FACTOR | A MAJOR FACTOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. I did not have the necessary materials/supplies for the original activities. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| b. The original activities were too difficult conceptually for my students. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| c. The original activities were too easy conceptually for my students. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| d. I did not have enough instructional time to implement the activities as designed. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| e. The original activities were too structured for my students. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| f. The original activities were not structured enough for my students. | (1) | (2) | (3) |

53. How well prepared did you feel to do each of the following as part of your instruction on this particular unit? [Select one on each row.]

|  | NOT <br> ADEQUATELY <br> PREPARED | SOMEWHAT <br> PREPARED | FAIRLY <br> WELL <br> PREPARED | VERY <br> WRELL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. $\quad$Anticipate difficulties that students may have with <br> particular mathematical ideas and procedures in this <br> unit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b.Find out what students thought or already knew about <br> the key mathematical ideas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c.Implement the instructional materials (for example: <br> mathematics textbook) to be used during this unit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d.Monitor student understanding during this unit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| e.Assess student understanding at the conclusion of this <br> unit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Your Most Recent Mathematics Lesson in this Class

The next three questions refer to the most recent mathematics lesson in this class, which you indicated is [type indicated in Q10] and is titled [title provided in Q11], even if it included activities and/or interruptions that are not typical (for example: a test, students working on projects, a fire drill). If the lesson spanned multiple days, please answer for the most recent day.
54. How many minutes was that day's mathematics lesson? Answer for the entire length of the class period, even if there were interruptions. [Enter your response as a non-zero whole number (for example: 50).] $\qquad$
55. Of these [answer to Q54] minutes, how many were spent on the following: [Enter each response as a whole number (for example: 15).]
a. Non-instructional activities (for example: attendance taking, interruptions)
b. Whole class activities (for example: lectures, explanations, discussions)
c. Small group work
d. Students working individually (for example: reading textbooks, completing worksheets, taking a test or quiz)
56. Which of the following activities took place during that day's mathematics lesson? [Select all that apply.]

| $\square$ | Teacher explaining a mathematical idea to the whole class |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\square$ | Teacher conducting a demonstration while students watched |
| $\square$ | Whole class discussion |
| $\square$ | Students working in small groups |
| $\square$ | Students completing textbook/worksheet problems |
| $\square$ | Students doing hands-on/manipulative activities |
| $\square$ | Students reading about mathematics |
| $\square$ | Students writing about mathematics (do not include students taking notes) |
| $\square$ | Practicing for standardized tests |
| $\square$ | Test or quiz |
| $\square$ | None of the above |

## Demographic Information

57. Are you:

| $\circ$ | Female |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\circ$ | Male |
| $\circ$ | Other |

58. Are you of Hispanic or Latino origin?

| - | Yes |
| :---: | :--- |
| - | No |

59. What is your race? [Select all that apply.]

| $\square$ | American Indian or Alaskan Native |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\square$ | Asian |
| $\square$ | Black or African American |
| $\square$ | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander |
| $\square$ | White |

60. In what year were you born? [Enter your response as a whole number (for example: 1969).]

## Thank you!

## Mathematics Teacher Questionnaire Tables

## Table MTQ 1 <br> Number of Years Mathematics Teachers Spent Teaching Prior to This School Year, by Grade Range

|  | MEAN NUMBER OF YEARS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Any subject at the K-12 level | $13(0.4)$ | $12(0.4)$ | $13(0.3)$ |
| Mathematics at the K-12 level | $12(0.4)$ | $10(0.4)$ | $13(0.3)$ |
| At this school, any subject | $8(0.3)$ | $7(0.3)$ | $8(0.2)$ |

Table MTQ 2
Grade Levels Taught by Mathematics Teachers

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grades K-5 | $77(1.0)$ |
| Grades 6-8 | $14(0.8)$ |
| Grades $9-12$ | $12(0.6)$ |

Table MTQ 3
Instructional Arrangements for Mathematics in Self-Contained Elementary School Classes

| This class receives mathematics instruction only from you. | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |
| :--- | :---: |
| This class receives mathematics instruction from you and other teachers (e.g., a mathematics <br> specialist or a teacher you team with). | $80(2.0)$ |

There is no table for MTQ 4.

Table MTQ 5
Frequency With Which Self-Contained Elementary School Teachers Provide Mathematics Instruction

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |
| :--- | :---: |
| I teach mathematics all or most days, every week of the year. | $99(0.2)$ |
| I teach mathematics every week, but typically three or fewer days each week. | $1(0.2)$ |
| I teach mathematics some weeks, but typically not every week. | $0(0.1)$ |

## Table MTQ 6

Frequency With Which Self-Contained Elementary School Teachers Provide Science Instruction

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |
| :--- | :---: |
| I teach science all or most days, every week of the year. | $23(2.1)$ |
| I teach science every week, but typically three or fewer days each week. | $33(2.0)$ |
| I teach science some weeks, but typically not every week. | $37(2.2)$ |
| I do not teach science. | $6(0.9)$ |

Table MTQ 7 and 8

## Average Number of Minutes Per Day Spent Teaching Each Subject in Self-Contained Elementary School Classes ${ }^{\dagger}$

AVERAGE NUMBER OF MINUTES

| Mathematics | $60(1.2)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Science | $19(0.7)$ |
| Social Studies | $16(0.5)$ |
| Reading/Language Arts | $85(1.9)$ |

$\dagger$ Includes only self-contained elementary teachers who indicated they teach reading/language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies to one class of students.

## Table MTQ 9 <br> Number of Sections of Mathematics Classes Taught Per Week, by Grade Range

|  |  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |  |
| 1 Section | $10(4.3)$ | $4(0.9)$ | $5(0.9)$ |  |
| 2 Sections | $52(6.5)$ | $14(1.8)$ | $10(1.3)$ |  |
| 3 Sections | $27(5.5)$ | $20(1.9)$ | $19(1.4)$ |  |
| 4 Sections | $7(2.4)$ | $23(2.0)$ | $13(1.3)$ |  |
| 5 Sections | $1(0.6)$ | $23(2.0)$ | $28(1.7)$ |  |
| 6 Sections | $1(0.6)$ | $13(1.5)$ | $21(1.3)$ |  |
| 7 Sections | $0--\ddagger$ | $1(0.4)$ | $4(0.6)$ |  |
| 8 Sections | $0(0.2)$ | $0(0.1)$ | $0(0.1)$ |  |
| 9 Sections | $0--\ddagger$ | $0(0.2)$ | $0--\ddagger$ |  |
| 10 Sections | $2(1.5)$ | $0(0.2)$ | $0(0.1)$ |  |

$\dagger$ Includes only teachers of non-self-contained classes.
$\ddagger$ No mathematics teachers at this grade range in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

## There is no table for MTQ 10.

## There is no table for MTQ 11.

Table MTQ 12
Subjects of Mathematics Teachers' Degrees, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Education (general or subject specific such as mathematics education) | $93(1.2)$ | $82(1.6)$ | $73(1.6)$ |
| Mathematics | $1(0.4)$ | $26(2.0)$ | $55(1.6)$ |
| Statistics | $0--1$ | $1(0.7)$ | $1(0.2)$ |
| Computer Science | $1(0.3)$ | $3(0.9)$ | $3(0.5)$ |
| Engineering | $0(0.0)$ | $2(0.5)$ | $6(0.8)$ |
| Other Subject | $36(2.2)$ | $38(2.4)$ | $30(1.5)$ |

$\dagger$ No elementary school mathematics teachers in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

## Table MTQ 13

Mathematics Teachers With Education Degrees, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Elementary Education | $88(1.5)$ | $44(3.1)$ | $5(1.2)$ |
| Mathematics Education | $2(0.7)$ | $28(2.4)$ | $53(2.0)$ |
| Science Education | $1(0.5)$ | $4(1.5)$ | $3(0.9)$ |
| Other Education | $20(1.9)$ | $31(2.5)$ | $22(1.9)$ |

[^0]
## Table MTQ 14

## Mathematics College Courses Completed by Mathematics Teachers, by Grade Range

PERCENT OF TEACHERS

|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics content for elementary school teachers | 92 (1.1) | 58 (2.2) | 17 (1.4) |
| Mathematics content for middle school teachers | 17 (1.6) | 62 (2.6) | 46 (1.8) |
| Mathematics content for high school teachers | 1 (0.4) | 27 (2.0) | 69 (1.9) |
| Integrated mathematics (a single course that addresses content across multiple mathematics subjects, such as algebra and geometry) | 34 (1.6) | 50 (2.5) | 47 (1.8) |
| College algebra/trigonometry/functions | 49 (2.1) | 72 (2.1) | 73 (1.4) |
| Abstract algebra (e.g., groups, rings, ideals, fields) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | n/a | 31 (1.7) | 73 (1.5) |
| Linear algebra (e.g., vectors, matrices, eigenvalues) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | n/a | 42 (2.0) | 84 (1.5) |
| Calculus | 18 (1.4) | 65 (2.3) | 92 (1.4) |
| Advanced calculus ${ }^{\dagger}$ | n/a | 47 (2.0) | 85 (1.4) |
| Real analysis ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | n/a | 19 (1.7) | 49 (1.6) |
| Differential equations ${ }^{\dagger}$ | n/a | 36 (1.9) | 68 (1.6) |
| Analytic/Coordinate Geometry (e.g., transformations or isometries, conic sections) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | n/a | 33 (2.0) | 66 (1.8) |
| Axiomatic Geometry (Euclidean or non-Euclidean) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | n/a | 24 (1.9) | 59 (1.9) |
| College geometry $\ddagger$ | 32 (2.1) | n/a | n/a |
| Probability | 25 (1.6) | 52 (2.5) | 75 (1.3) |
| Statistics | 47 (1.9) | 74 (1.9) | 89 (1.1) |
| Number theory (e.g., divisibility theorems, properties of prime numbers) $\dagger$ | n/a | 41 (2.4) | 58 (1.7) |
| Discrete mathematics (e.g., combinatorics, graph theory, game theory) | 6 (0.8) | 31 (2.4) | 61 (1.6) |
| Other upper division mathematics | 14 (1.3) | 28 (2.2) | 58 (1.9) |

$\dagger$ This item was presented only to middle and/or high school teachers.
$\ddagger$ This item was presented only to elementary school teachers.

## Table MTQ 15

Mathematics Teachers Having Completed One or More College Courses in Computer Science or Engineering, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Computer science | $27(1.7)$ | $42(2.2)$ | $62(1.7)$ |
| Engineering | $2(0.5)$ | $9(1.1)$ | $18(1.3)$ |

Table MTQ 16
Mathematics Teachers' Paths to Certification, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| An undergraduate program leading to a bachelor's degree and a teaching <br> credential | $65(2.2)$ | $61(2.6)$ | $57(2.3)$ |
| A post-baccalaureate credentialing program (no master's degree awarded) | $10(1.5)$ | $14(1.9)$ | $16(1.2)$ |
| A master's program that also led to a teaching credential | $23(2.1)$ | $20(1.6)$ | $21(1.6)$ |
| I have not completed a program to earn a teaching credential. | $2(0.6)$ | $4(1.1)$ | $7(1.5)$ |

## Table MTQ 17

Mathematics Teachers With Full-Time Job Experience in a Mathematics-Related Field Prior to Teaching

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |
| :--- | :---: |
| Elementary | $7(1.1)$ |
| Middle | $12(1.4)$ |
| High | $19(1.4)$ |

Table MTQ 18
Mathematics Teachers' Most Recent Participation in Mathematics-Focused ${ }^{\dagger}$ Professional Development, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| In the last 12 months | $59(2.1)$ | $71(2.5)$ | $68(1.7)$ |
| $1-3$ years ago | $24(2.0)$ | $19(2.0)$ | $21(1.8)$ |
| 4-6 years ago | $7(1.1)$ | $5(1.1)$ | $5(0.9)$ |
| 7-10 years ago | $1(0.4)$ | $2(0.6)$ | $1(0.3)$ |
| More than 10 years ago | $2(0.5)$ | $1(0.3)$ | $2(0.7)$ |
| Never | $5(1.0)$ | $4(0.8)$ | $3(0.5)$ |

## Table MTQ 19

## Mathematics Teachers Participating in Various Mathematics-Focused Professional Development Activities in the Last Three Years, by Grade Range

|  |  | OF TEAC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| I attended a professional development program/workshop. | 94 (1.1) | 93 (1.4) | 91 (1.4) |
| I attended a national, state, or regional mathematics teacher association meeting. | 13 (1.7) | 26 (2.4) | 34 (2.4) |
| I completed an online course/webinar. | 19 (1.5) | 35 (2.9) | 32 (2.0) |
| I participated in a professional learning community/lesson study/teacher study group. | 53 (2.6) | 68 (3.1) | 64 (2.1) |
| I received assistance or feedback from a formally designated coach/mentor. | 47 (2.4) | 56 (3.2) | 44 (2.4) |
| I took a formal course for college credit. | 5 (1.1) | 15 (2.1) | 19 (1.7) |
| $\dagger$ Includes only teachers indicating in Q18 that they participated in mathematics-focused professional development in the last three years. |  |  |  |

## Table MTQ 20

Time Spent by Mathematics Teachers on Mathematics-Focused Professional Development in the Last Three Years, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Less than 6 hours | $21(1.6)$ | $9(1.8)$ | $8(1.0)$ |
| 6-15 hours | $37(2.0)$ | $22(2.5)$ | $22(1.6)$ |
| 16-35 hours | $26(1.9)$ | $27(1.9)$ | $25(1.3)$ |
| 36-80 hours | $12(1.3)$ | $25(2.0)$ | $27(1.7)$ |
| More than 80 hours | $4(0.7)$ | $16(1.3)$ | $18(1.4)$ |

$\dagger$ Includes only teachers indicating in Q18 that they participated in mathematics-focused professional development in the last three years.

Table MTQ 21.1

## Elementary School Mathematics Teachers' Descriptions of Mathematics-Focused Professional Development in the Last Three Years

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | SOMEWHAT <br> 3 | 4 | TO A GREAT EXTENT 5 |
| I had opportunities to engage in mathematics investigations. | 10 (1.5) | 9 (1.4) | 35 (2.5) | 34 (2.5) | 13 (2.0) |
| I had opportunities to experience lessons, as my students would, from the textbook/units I use in my classroom. | 13 (1.6) | 12 (1.5) | 27 (2.4) | 32 (2.3) | 16 (1.8) |
| I had opportunities to examine classroom artifacts (e.g., student work samples, videos of classroom instruction). | 11 (1.5) | 14 (1.9) | 28 (2.2) | 31 (2.4) | 14 (2.1) |
| I had opportunities to rehearse instructional practices during the professional development (i.e., try out, receive feedback, and reflect on those practices). | 22 (2.1) | 18 (1.6) | 25 (2.1) | 26 (1.9) | 9 (1.5) |
| I had opportunities to apply what I learned to my classroom and then come back and talk about it as part of the professional development. | 17 (1.9) | 14 (1.9) | 25 (2.4) | 29 (2.0) | 15 (2.0) |
| I worked closely with other teachers from my school. | 5 (1.0) | 7 (1.3) | 19 (2.0) | 32 (2.1) | 36 (2.6) |
| I worked closely with other teachers who taught the same grade and/or subject whether or not they were from my school. | 9 (1.4) | 13 (1.5) | 21 (1.8) | 33 (2.2) | 23 (2.3) |

$\dagger$ Includes only elementary school mathematics teachers indicating in Q18 that they participated in mathematics-focused professional development in the last three years.

Table MTQ 21.2

## Middle School Mathematics Teachers' Descriptions of Mathematics-Focused Professional Development in the Last Three Years

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT AT ALL 1 | 2 | SOMEWHAT <br> 3 | 4 | TO A GREAT EXTENT <br> 5 |
| I had opportunities to engage in mathematics investigations. | 13 (2.9) | 5 (0.7) | 35 (3.0) | 32 (2.4) | 15 (1.5) |
| I had opportunities to experience lessons, as my students would, from the textbook/units I use in my classroom. | 16 (2.4) | 12 (1.6) | 27 (2.9) | 31 (3.5) | 14 (1.7) |
| I had opportunities to examine classroom artifacts (e.g., student work samples, videos of classroom instruction). | 7 (1.4) | 12 (1.9) | 32 (2.9) | 32 (3.3) | 16 (2.3) |
| I had opportunities to rehearse instructional practices during the professional development (i.e., try out, receive feedback, and reflect on those practices). | 21 (2.8) | 19 (2.2) | 26 (2.5) | 25 (3.0) | 9 (1.5) |
| I had opportunities to apply what I learned to my classroom and then come back and talk about it as part of the professional development. | 16 (2.6) | 13 (1.9) | 25 (2.7) | 31 (3.2) | 15 (1.6) |
| I worked closely with other teachers from my school. | 3 (1.4) | 7 (1.6) | 18 (2.4) | 29 (3.3) | 43 (3.1) |
| I worked closely with other teachers who taught the same grade and/or subject whether or not they were from my school. | 8 (2.1) | 10 (2.1) | 23 (2.4) | 28 (3.1) | 31 (2.7) |

$\dagger$ Includes only middle school mathematics teachers indicating in Q18 that they participated in mathematics-focused professional development in the last three years.

Table MTQ 21.3

## High School Mathematics Teachers' Descriptions of Mathematics-Focused Professional Development in the Last Three Years

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT AT ALL 1 | 2 | SOMEWHAT $3$ | 4 | TO A GREAT EXTENT <br> 5 |
| I had opportunities to engage in mathematics investigations. | 9 (0.8) | 8 (1.4) | 40 (2.2) | 26 (1.8) | 17 (1.3) |
| I had opportunities to experience lessons, as my students would, from the textbook/units I use in my classroom. | 19 (1.9) | 13 (1.4) | 26 (2.0) | 26 (2.1) | 16 (1.7) |
| I had opportunities to examine classroom artifacts (e.g., student work samples, videos of classroom instruction). | 10 (1.1) | 16 (1.6) | 31 (1.9) | 29 (2.0) | 15 (1.6) |
| I had opportunities to rehearse instructional practices during the professional development (i.e., try out, receive feedback, and reflect on those practices). | 23 (1.7) | 21 (1.7) | 25 (1.9) | 20 (2.1) | 11 (1.5) |
| I had opportunities to apply what I learned to my classroom and then come back and talk about it as part of the professional development. | 15 (1.4) | 16 (1.5) | 24 (1.5) | 28 (2.2) | 18 (1.5) |
| I worked closely with other teachers from my school. | 6 (0.9) | 8 (1.2) | 19 (1.7) | 27 (1.7) | 40 (2.4) |
| I worked closely with other teachers who taught the same grade and/or subject whether or not they were from my school. | 7 (1.0) | 11 (1.2) | 25 (1.4) | 30 (2.0) | 28 (1.5) |

† Includes only high school mathematics teachers indicating in Q18 that they participated in mathematics-focused professional development in the last three years.

Table MTQ 22.1

## Elementary School Mathematics Teachers' Perceptions of Topics Emphasized During Professional Development in the Last Three Years

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT AT ALL <br> 1 | 2 | SOMEWHAT <br> 3 | 4 | TO A GREAT EXTENT <br> 5 |
| Deepening your own mathematics content knowledge | 7 (1.1) | 12 (1.5) | 30 (2.2) | 30 (2.3) | 21 (1.8) |
| Deepening your understanding of how mathematics is done (e.g., considering how to approach a problem, explaining and justifying solutions, creating and using mathematical models) | 3 (1.0) | 9 (1.4) | 30 (2.1) | 38 (2.5) | 20 (1.8) |
| Implementing the mathematics textbook to be used in your classroom | 23 (1.9) | 14 (1.5) | 23 (2.2) | 24 (2.2) | 16 (1.8) |
| Learning how to use hands-on activities/manipulatives for mathematics instruction | 5 (1.3) | 11 (1.5) | 24 (2.2) | 34 (2.2) | 25 (1.8) |
| Learning about difficulties that students may have with particular mathematical ideas and procedures | 6 (1.2) | 19 (2.1) | 27 (2.3) | 34 (2.2) | 13 (1.5) |
| Finding out what students think or already know prior to instruction on a topic | 8 (1.4) | 17 (1.9) | 29 (2.0) | 33 (2.4) | 13 (1.7) |
| Monitoring student understanding during mathematics instruction | 5 (1.2) | 9 (1.6) | 30 (1.9) | 36 (2.3) | 20 (1.8) |
| Differentiating mathematics instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | 4 (1.0) | 11 (1.5) | 30 (2.5) | 34 (2.4) | 22 (2.3) |
| Incorporating students' cultural backgrounds into mathematics instruction | 31 (2.4) | 22 (2.1) | 28 (2.4) | 14 (1.5) | 6 (1.4) |
| Learning how to provide mathematics instruction that integrates engineering, science, and/or computer science | 28 (2.3) | 25 (2.1) | 25 (2.1) | 15 (2.0) | 7 (1.1) |

$\dagger$ Includes only elementary school mathematics teachers indicating in Q18 that they participated in mathematics-focused professional development in the last three years.

Table MTQ 22.2

## Middle School Mathematics Teachers' Perceptions of Topics Emphasized During Professional Development in the Last Three Years

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT AT ALL 1 | 2 | SOMEWHAT <br> 3 | 4 | TO A GREAT EXTENT 5 |
| Deepening your own mathematics content knowledge | 9 (1.6) | 13 (1.4) | 35 (3.4) | 27 (3.0) | 17 (1.9) |
| Deepening your understanding of how mathematics is done (e.g., considering how to approach a problem, explaining and justifying solutions, creating and using mathematical models) | 4 (1.0) | 12 (1.6) | 29 (3.0) | 37 (3.4) | 19 (2.4) |
| Implementing the mathematics textbook to be used in your classroom | 25 (2.9) | 17 (2.4) | 19 (2.6) | 23 (2.8) | 16 (2.0) |
| Learning how to use hands-on activities/manipulatives for mathematics instruction | 7 (1.5) | 13 (1.7) | 35 (3.3) | 31 (3.1) | 14 (1.6) |
| Learning about difficulties that students may have with particular mathematical ideas and procedures | 7 (1.6) | 12 (1.8) | 30 (2.7) | 37 (2.9) | 14 (1.9) |
| Finding out what students think or already know prior to instruction on a topic | 12 (2.0) | 14 (2.0) | 35 (3.0) | 28 (3.1) | 11 (1.6) |
| Monitoring student understanding during mathematics instruction | 8 (1.7) | 13 (1.8) | 24 (2.1) | 37 (3.1) | 18 (2.4) |
| Differentiating mathematics instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | 6 (1.3) | 9 (1.1) | 30 (2.7) | 37 (3.3) | 18 (2.4) |
| Incorporating students' cultural backgrounds into mathematics instruction | 25 (2.8) | 25 (2.5) | 30 (2.7) | 14 (2.9) | 5 (1.0) |
| Learning how to provide mathematics instruction that integrates engineering, science, and/or computer science | 30 (2.9) | 25 (2.2) | 26 (2.5) | 14 (2.8) | 6 (1.4) |

$\dagger$ Includes only middle school mathematics teachers indicating in Q18 that they participated in mathematics-focused professional development in the last three years.

Table MTQ 22.3

## High School Mathematics Teachers' Perceptions of Topics Emphasized During Professional Development in the Last Three Years

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT AT ALL 1 | 2 | SOMEWHAT <br> 3 | 4 | TO A GREAT EXTENT 5 |
| Deepening your own mathematics content knowledge | 14 (1.5) | 17 (1.7) | 30 (2.3) | 24 (2.0) | 15 (1.4) |
| Deepening your understanding of how mathematics is done (e.g., considering how to approach a problem, explaining and justifying solutions, creating and using mathematical models) | 7 (1.2) | 12 (1.1) | 32 (2.4) | 32 (2.0) | 17 (1.5) |
| Implementing the mathematics textbook to be used in your classroom | 34 (2.3) | 19 (1.6) | 22 (2.1) | 17 (2.1) | 8 (0.9) |
| Learning how to use hands-on activities/manipulatives for mathematics instruction | 10 (1.5) | 16 (1.5) | 34 (2.1) | 26 (1.6) | 13 (1.8) |
| Learning about difficulties that students may have with particular mathematical ideas and procedures | 7 (1.0) | 15 (1.5) | 32 (1.8) | 34 (2.2) | 11 (1.5) |
| Finding out what students think or already know prior to instruction on a topic | 9 (1.1) | 20 (1.4) | 33 (2.0) | 28 (2.1) | 10 (1.4) |
| Monitoring student understanding during mathematics instruction | 8 (1.0) | 13 (1.0) | 27 (1.7) | 36 (2.3) | 17 (1.4) |
| Differentiating mathematics instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | 4 (0.7) | 12 (1.3) | 31 (1.7) | 35 (1.9) | 18 (1.9) |
| Incorporating students' cultural backgrounds into mathematics instruction | 25 (1.6) | 22 (1.6) | 27 (2.3) | 17 (1.5) | 8 (1.8) |
| Learning how to provide mathematics instruction that integrates engineering, science, and/or computer science | 28 (1.9) | 22 (1.3) | 29 (2.2) | 14 (1.2) | 8 (1.7) |

$\dagger$ Includes only high school mathematics teachers indicating in Q18 that they participated in mathematics-focused professional development in the last three years.

Table MTQ 23

## Self-Contained Elementary School Mathematics Teachers' Perceptions of Their Preparedness to Teach Various Subjects

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS $\dagger$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOT } \\ \text { ADEQUATELY } \\ \text { PREPARED } \end{gathered}$ | SOMEWHAT PREPARED | FAIRLY WELL PREPARED | VERY <br> WELL PREPARED |
| Number and Operations | 0 (0.1) | 2 (0.5) | 23 (1.7) | 74 (1.7) |
| Early Algebra | 6 (0.9) | 17 (1.2) | 36 (2.1) | 41 (1.9) |
| Geometry | 4 (0.7) | 12 (1.3) | 35 (1.8) | 49 (2.2) |
| Measurement and Data Representation | 3 (0.5) | 8 (1.1) | 37 (1.8) | 53 (1.8) |
| Science | 4 (0.8) | 23 (1.8) | 42 (1.9) | 31 (1.9) |
| Computer science/Programming | 47 (2.4) | 34 (2.0) | 13 (1.3) | 6 (0.9) |
| Reading/Language Arts | 1 (0.2) | 3 (0.8) | 22 (1.6) | 75 (1.9) |
| Social Studies | 3 (0.7) | 17 (1.3) | 36 (1.7) | 44 (1.6) |

$\dagger$ Includes only self-contained elementary school teachers who indicated they teach reading/language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies to one class of students.

Table MTQ 24.1

## Non-Self-Contained Elementary School Mathematics Teachers' Perceptions of Their Preparedness to Teach Various Topics

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOT } \\ \text { ADEQUATELY } \\ \text { PREPARED } \end{gathered}$ | SOMEWHAT PREPARED | FAIRLY WELL PREPARED | VERY <br> WELL PREPARED |
| The number system and operations | $0-\ldots-$ | 1 (1.4) | 16 (3.8) | 83 (3.5) |
| Algebraic thinking | 0 ---† | 6 (3.3) | 35 (4.6) | 59 (4.9) |
| Functions | 12 (4.0) | 27 (5.3) | 32 (5.1) | 28 (4.8) |
| Modeling | 0 ---† | 9 (2.8) | 22 (4.4) | 68 (5.5) |
| Measurement | $0-\ldots+$ | 2 (1.5) | 39 (5.4) | 59 (5.6) |
| Geometry | $0-\ldots+$ | 3 (1.4) | 30 (5.8) | 67 (5.9) |
| Statistics and probability | 11 (3.4) | 34 (5.7) | 33 (4.3) | 22 (4.8) |
| Discrete mathematics | 26 (4.5) | 36 (5.4) | 29 (5.5) | 9 (3.7) |
| Computer science/Programming | 48 (4.9) | 33 (5.1) | 10 (4.0) | 8 (3.1) |

$\dagger$ No non-self-contained elementary mathematics teachers in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

## Table MTQ 24.2

Middle School Mathematics Teachers' Perceptions of Their Preparedness to Teach Various Topics

\left.|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\right]$

$\dagger$ No middle school mathematics teachers in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

Table MTQ 24.3
High School Mathematics Teachers' Perceptions of Their Preparedness to Teach Various Topics

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SOMEWHAT PREPARED | FAIRLY WELL PREPARED | VERY <br> WELL PREPARED |
| The number system and operations | 0 (0.1) | 1 (0.4) | 9 (0.9) | 89 (0.9) |
| Algebraic thinking | 0 (0.1) | 2 (0.4) | 9 (0.7) | 89 (0.9) |
| Functions | 0 (0.1) | 3 (0.8) | 12 (1.4) | 84 (1.4) |
| Modeling | 1 (0.2) | 10 (0.9) | 31 (1.7) | 59 (1.8) |
| Measurement | 1 (0.2) | 5 (0.6) | 21 (1.3) | 74 (1.3) |
| Geometry | 1 (0.3) | 11 (0.9) | 22 (1.2) | 65 (1.4) |
| Statistics and probability | 7 (0.8) | 25 (1.3) | 37 (1.6) | 31 (1.7) |
| Discrete mathematics | 17 (1.2) | 30 (1.5) | 32 (1.7) | 21 (1.3) |
| Computer science/Programming | 56 (1.6) | 29 (1.6) | 10 (1.0) | 5 (0.8) |

Table MTQ 25.1
Elementary School Mathematics Teachers' Perceptions of Their Preparedness for Each of a Number of Tasks

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Table MTQ 25.2

## Middle School Mathematics Teachers' Perceptions of Their Preparedness for Each of a Number of Tasks

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOT } \\ \text { ADEQUATELY } \\ \text { PREPARED } \end{gathered}$ | SOMEWHAT PREPARED | FAIRLY WELL PREPARED | VERY <br> WELL PREPARED |
| Develop students' conceptual understanding of the mathematical ideas you teach | 0 (0.2) | 7 (1.3) | 44 (2.2) | 49 (2.2) |
| Develop students' abilities to do mathematics (e.g., consider how to approach a problem, explain and justify solutions, create and use mathematical models) | $0---\dagger$ | 7 (1.3) | 39 (2.0) | 55 (2.1) |
| Develop students' awareness of STEM careers | 19 (1.8) | 42 (2.3) | 29 (2.1) | 10 (0.9) |
| Provide mathematics instruction that is based on students' ideas (whether completely correct or not) about the topics you teach | 5 (0.9) | 29 (2.1) | 43 (2.1) | 23 (1.7) |
| Use formative assessment to monitor student learning | 0 (0.1) | 8 (1.4) | 34 (2.4) | 57 (2.2) |
| Differentiate mathematics instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | 1 (0.5) | 18 (2.0) | 45 (2.6) | 36 (2.2) |
| Incorporate students' cultural backgrounds into mathematics instruction | 13 (1.3) | 43 (2.3) | 31 (1.9) | 13 (1.1) |
| Encourage students' interest in mathematics | 1 (0.4) | 18 (2.3) | 44 (2.2) | 37 (2.0) |
| Encourage participation of all students in mathematics | 0 (0.2) | 11 (1.6) | 40 (2.1) | 49 (2.1) |
| $\dagger$ No middle school mathematics teachers in the samp error of this estimate. | lected this resp | option. Thus, it | possible to c | the standard |

## Table MTQ 25.3

## High School Mathematics Teachers'

 Perceptions of Their Preparedness for Each of a Number of Tasks|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOT } \\ \text { ADEQUATELY } \\ \text { PREPARED } \end{gathered}$ | SOMEWHAT PREPARED | FAIRLY WELL PREPARED | VERY <br> WELL PREPARED |
| Develop students' conceptual understanding of the mathematical ideas you teach | 0 (0.1) | 7 (1.5) | 32 (1.3) | 61 (1.8) |
| Develop students' abilities to do mathematics (e.g., consider how to approach a problem, explain and justify solutions, create and use mathematical models) | 0 (0.2) | 5 (1.0) | 28 (1.8) | 66 (2.0) |
| Develop students' awareness of STEM careers | 13 (1.1) | 39 (1.6) | 33 (1.7) | 15 (1.1) |
| Provide mathematics instruction that is based on students' ideas (whether completely correct or not) about the topics you teach | 6 (0.6) | 26 (1.4) | 42 (1.7) | 26 (1.5) |
| Use formative assessment to monitor student learning | 1 (0.2) | 6 (0.8) | 36 (1.6) | 57 (1.6) |
| Differentiate mathematics instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | 3 (0.7) | 17 (1.1) | 47 (1.4) | 33 (1.6) |
| Incorporate students' cultural backgrounds into mathematics instruction | 17 (1.0) | 37 (1.4) | 29 (1.4) | 17 (1.3) |
| Encourage students' interest in mathematics | 1 (0.3) | 13 (1.1) | 48 (1.6) | 38 (1.5) |
| Encourage participation of all students in mathematics | 1 (0.2) | 9 (1.1) | 43 (1.8) | 46 (1.8) |

Table MTQ 26.1

## Elementary School Mathematics Teachers' Opinions About Teaching and Learning

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STRONGLY <br> DISAGREE | DISAGREE | NO OPINION | AGREE | STRONGLY AGREE |
| Students learn mathematics best in classes with students of similar abilities. | 4 (0.8) | 35 (2.0) | 13 (1.7) | 39 (2.1) | 9 (1.4) |
| It is better for mathematics instruction to focus on ideas in depth, even if that means covering fewer topics. | 1 (0.4) | 10 (1.4) | 12 (1.4) | 52 (2.3) | 25 (1.9) |
| At the beginning of instruction on a mathematical idea, students should be provided with definitions for new mathematics vocabulary that will be used. | 1 (0.5) | 9 (1.2) | 8 (1.2) | 50 (2.3) | 32 (2.0) |
| Teachers should explain an idea to students before having them investigate the idea. | 4 (0.8) | 40 (2.0) | 22 (1.8) | 26 (1.9) | 9 (1.3) |
| Most class periods should provide opportunities for students to share their thinking and reasoning. | 1 (0.4) | 1 (0.5) | 2 (0.6) | 40 (2.3) | 55 (2.3) |
| Hands-on activities/manipulatives should be used primarily to reinforce a mathematical idea that the students have already learned. | 7 (1.2) | 33 (2.1) | 7 (1.1) | 25 (1.8) | 28 (2.2) |
| Teachers should ask students to justify their mathematical thinking. | 1 (0.4) | 1 (0.5) | 1 (0.4) | 37 (2.2) | 60 (2.1) |
| Students learn best when instruction is connected to their everyday lives. | 1 (0.4) | 1 (0.2) | 2 (0.5) | 40 (2.1) | 57 (2.1) |
| Most class periods should provide opportunities for students to apply mathematical ideas to realworld contexts. | $0---\dagger$ | 2 (0.6) | 5 (1.0) | 46 (2.2) | 47 (2.2) |
| Students should learn mathematics by doing mathematics (e.g., considering how to approach a problem, explaining and justifying solutions, creating and using mathematical models). | 0 (0.3) | 1 (0.3) | 2 (0.6) | 43 (2.2) | 54 (2.2) |

$\dagger$ No elementary school mathematics teachers in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

## Table MTQ 26.2

## Middle School Mathematics

## Teachers' Opinions About Teaching and Learning

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STRONGLY DISAGREE | DISAGREE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO } \\ & \text { OPINION } \end{aligned}$ | AGREE | STRONGLY AGREE |
| Students learn mathematics best in classes with students of similar abilities. | 1 (0.7) | 23 (2.3) | 9 (1.3) | 45 (2.8) | 22 (2.5) |
| It is better for mathematics instruction to focus on ideas in depth, even if that means covering fewer topics. | 0 --- | 5 (1.0) | 6 (1.0) | 54 (2.6) | 35 (2.4) |
| At the beginning of instruction on a mathematical idea, students should be provided with definitions for new mathematics vocabulary that will be used. | 0 (0.2) | 11 (2.0) | 11 (1.8) | 48 (2.9) | 30 (2.3) |
| Teachers should explain an idea to students before having them investigate the idea. | 6 (0.9) | 45 (3.1) | 19 (1.9) | 23 (2.8) | 8 (1.6) |
| Most class periods should provide opportunities for students to share their thinking and reasoning. | $0---\dagger$ | 1 (0.4) | 3 (0.6) | 50 (2.8) | 46 (2.8) |
| Hands-on activities/manipulatives should be used primarily to reinforce a mathematical idea that the students have already learned. | 5 (1.4) | 33 (2.5) | 19 (2.6) | 31 (2.5) | 12 (1.6) |
| Teachers should ask students to justify their mathematical thinking. | 0 ---† | 0 (0.2) | 1 (0.3) | 36 (2.8) | 63 (2.9) |
| Students learn best when instruction is connected to their everyday lives. | $0--{ }^{+}$ | 1 (0.4) | 6 (1.8) | 42 (2.6) | 50 (2.8) |
| Most class periods should provide opportunities for students to apply mathematical ideas to real-world contexts. | 0 (0.2) | 1 (0.4) | 6 (1.0) | 52 (2.8) | 40 (2.7) |
| Students should learn mathematics by doing mathematics (e.g., considering how to approach a problem, explaining and justifying solutions, creating and using mathematical models). | $0---\dagger$ | 1 (0.3) | 2 (0.6) | 39 (2.6) | 58 (2.6) |

$\dagger$ No middle school mathematics teachers in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

## Table MTQ 26.3

## High School Mathematics

## Teachers' Opinions About Teaching and Learning

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STRONGLY DISAGREE | DISAGREE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NO } \\ \text { OPINION } \end{gathered}$ | AGREE | STRONGLY AGREE |
| Students learn mathematics best in classes with students of similar abilities. | 1 (0.4) | 20 (1.8) | 8 (1.0) | 49 (2.0) | 22 (1.7) |
| It is better for mathematics instruction to focus on ideas in depth, even if that means covering fewer topics. | 0 (0.1) | 7 (1.5) | 9 (1.2) | 54 (1.9) | 29 (1.6) |
| At the beginning of instruction on a mathematical idea, students should be provided with definitions for new mathematics vocabulary that will be used. | 1 (0.8) | 8 (0.9) | 12 (1.2) | 46 (2.3) | 32 (2.3) |
| Teachers should explain an idea to students before having them investigate the idea. | 6 (0.9) | 40 (2.1) | 22 (1.7) | 23 (1.8) | 9 (1.3) |
| Most class periods should provide opportunities for students to share their thinking and reasoning. | 0 (0.1) | 2 (0.5) | 4 (0.7) | 55 (2.0) | 39 (2.0) |
| Hands-on activities/manipulatives should be used primarily to reinforce a mathematical idea that the students have already learned. | 3 (0.7) | 32 (1.6) | 21 (1.5) | 32 (1.9) | 12 (1.8) |
| Teachers should ask students to justify their mathematical thinking. | $0---\dagger$ | 0 (0.4) | 2 (0.5) | 39 (2.5) | 59 (2.5) |
| Students learn best when instruction is connected to their everyday lives. | 1 (0.8) | 5 (1.1) | 9 (1.0) | 50 (2.1) | 35 (1.9) |
| Most class periods should provide opportunities for students to apply mathematical ideas to real-world contexts. | 0 (0.1) | 9 (1.3) | 13 (1.2) | 52 (2.1) | 26 (1.9) |
| Students should learn mathematics by doing mathematics (e.g., considering how to approach a problem, explaining and justifying solutions, creating and using mathematical models). | $0---\dagger$ | 0 (0.1) | 4 (0.8) | 44 (1.9) | 52 (1.8) |

$\dagger$ No high school mathematics teachers in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

## Table MTQ 27

## Mathematics Teachers Having Various Leadership Responsibilities Within the Last Three Years, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Served as a lead teacher or department chair in mathematics | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Served as a formal mentor or coach for a mathematics teacher | $14(1.6)$ | $31(2.3)$ | $28(1.8)$ |
| Supervised a student teacher in your classroom | $6(1.2)$ | $21(1.9)$ | $29(2.0)$ |
| Served on a school district-wide/diocese-wide mathematics committee (e.g., <br> developing curriculum, developing pacing guides, selecting instructional <br> materials) | $27(2.2)$ | $21(2.1)$ | $20(1.8)$ |
| Led or co-led a workshop or professional learning community (e.g., teacher <br> study group, lesson study) for other teachers focused on mathematics or <br> mathematics teaching | $21(1.6)$ | $45(2.9)$ | $49(2.1)$ |
| Taught a mathematics lesson for other teachers in your school to observe | $28(1.2)$ | $23(2.2)$ | $26(1.8)$ |
| Observed another teacher's mathematics lesson for the purpose of giving <br> him/her feedback | $27(1.9)$ | $43(2.9)$ | $41(2.4)$ |

Table MTQ 28

## Average Minutes Per Week Mathematics Classes ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\dagger}}$ Meet

AVERAGE NUMBER OF MINUTES

|  | AVERAGE NUMBER OF MINUTES |
| :--- | :---: |
| Elementary | $358(21.3)$ |
| Middle | $265(4.4)$ |
| High | $254(3.0)$ |
| Includes only non-self-contained classes. |  |

Table MTQ 29
Average Number of Students in Mathematics Classes
AVERAGE NUMBER OF STUDENTS

| Elementary | $21(0.2)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Middle | $22(0.4)$ |
| High | $21(0.3)$ |

Table MTQ 30
Race/Ethnicity of Students in Mathematics Classes, by Grade Range

|  | AVERAGE PERCENT OF STUDENTS |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | $2(0.5)$ | $2(0.4)$ | $2(0.7)$ |
| Asian | $4(0.7)$ | $4(0.4)$ | $5(0.5)$ |
| Black or African American | $18(1.4)$ | $16(1.3)$ | $13(0.8)$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $19(1.3)$ | $23(1.5)$ | $20(1.4)$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | $1(0.2)$ | $0(0.1)$ | $1(0.1)$ |
| White | $52(1.6)$ | $53(2.0)$ | $57(1.6)$ |
| Two or more races | $5(0.4)$ | $3(0.3)$ | $3(0.3)$ |

## Table MTQ 31

Prior Mathematics Achievement Level of Students in Mathematics Classes, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Mostly low achievers | $12(1.4)$ | $26(1.8)$ | $22(1.4)$ |
| Mostly average achievers | $30(1.5)$ | $24(1.7)$ | $28(1.6)$ |
| Mostly high achievers | $7(1.0)$ | $22(1.8)$ | $27(1.3)$ |
| A mixture of levels | $51(1.8)$ | $29(2.0)$ | $24(1.6)$ |

Table MTQ 32.1

## Elementary School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Having Control Over Various Curricular and Instructional Decisions

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO CONTROL 1 | 2 | MODERATE CONTROL <br> 3 | 4 | STRONG CONTROL |
| Determining course goals and objectives | 34 (2.3) | 16 (1.8) | 21 (1.8) | 13 (1.6) | 16 (1.7) |
| Selecting curriculum materials (e.g., textbooks) | 33 (2.3) | 24 (2.1) | 20 (1.7) | 12 (1.5) | 11 (1.5) |
| Selecting content, topics, and skills to be taught | 40 (2.6) | 20 (1.9) | 16 (1.9) | 12 (1.7) | 11 (1.3) |
| Selecting the sequence in which topics are covered | 25 (2.1) | 18 (1.8) | 22 (1.6) | 16 (1.7) | 19 (1.7) |
| Determining the amount of instructional time to spend on each topic | 17 (1.7) | 14 (1.5) | 26 (1.8) | 22 (1.8) | 21 (1.8) |
| Selecting teaching techniques | 2 (0.6) | 4 (0.9) | 13 (1.5) | 29 (2.1) | 52 (2.2) |
| Determining the amount of homework to be assigned | 3 (1.0) | 3 (0.9) | 10 (1.3) | 23 (2.0) | 61 (2.2) |
| Choosing criteria for grading student performance | 6 (1.2) | 9 (1.3) | 27 (2.4) | 25 (2.0) | 34 (2.0) |

Table MTQ 32.2
Middle School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Having Control Over Various Curricular and Instructional Decisions

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NO } \\ \text { CONTROL } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | MODERATE CONTROL 3 | 4 | STRONG CONTROL 5 |
| Determining course goals and objectives | 26 (2.2) | 13 (1.3) | 20 (2.3) | 14 (1.6) | 28 (2.4) |
| Selecting curriculum materials (e.g., textbooks) | 27 (2.2) | 17 (2.1) | 23 (2.1) | 15 (2.0) | 18 (2.1) |
| Selecting content, topics, and skills to be taught | 31 (2.0) | 17 (1.8) | 17 (1.8) | 14 (1.9) | 21 (2.1) |
| Selecting the sequence in which topics are covered | 12 (1.4) | 16 (1.8) | 22 (2.4) | 18 (1.7) | 31 (2.6) |
| Determining the amount of instructional time to spend on each topic | 6 (0.9) | 12 (1.8) | 23 (2.1) | 21 (2.1) | 37 (2.7) |
| Selecting teaching techniques | 0 (0.0) | 2 (0.5) | 8 (1.5) | 23 (2.2) | 68 (2.5) |
| Determining the amount of homework to be assigned | 1 (0.4) | 1 (0.4) | 9 (1.5) | 18 (2.2) | 71 (2.4) |
| Choosing criteria for grading student performance | 2 (0.7) | 5 (1.3) | 18 (2.0) | 22 (2.2) | 52 (2.9) |

## Table MTQ 32.3

## High School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Having Control Over Various Curricular and Instructional Decisions

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO CONTROL 1 | 2 | MODERATE CONTROL $3$ | 4 | STRONG CONTROL 5 |
| Determining course goals and objectives | 14 (1.4) | 13 (1.3) | 24 (1.6) | 19 (1.4) | 30 (1.6) |
| Selecting curriculum materials (e.g., textbooks) | 20 (1.8) | 13 (1.4) | 24 (1.6) | 16 (1.5) | 27 (1.8) |
| Selecting content, topics, and skills to be taught | 17 (1.8) | 15 (1.5) | 23 (1.7) | 19 (1.9) | 26 (1.6) |
| Selecting the sequence in which topics are covered | 8 (1.2) | 10 (1.3) | 18 (1.4) | 18 (1.5) | 45 (1.7) |
| Determining the amount of instructional time to spend on each topic | 3 (0.5) | 8 (1.1) | 20 (1.6) | 21 (1.6) | 49 (2.0) |
| Selecting teaching techniques | 0 (0.2) | 1 (0.3) | 7 (1.2) | 21 (1.6) | 71 (1.5) |
| Determining the amount of homework to be assigned | 2 (0.6) | 1 (0.4) | 7 (1.2) | 15 (1.3) | 75 (1.6) |
| Choosing criteria for grading student performance | 3 (0.6) | 5 (1.2) | 14 (1.5) | 24 (1.6) | 53 (2.0) |

Table MTQ 33.1
Emphasis Given in Elementary School Mathematics Classes to Various Instructional Objectives

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NONE | MINIMAL EMPHASIS | MODERATE EMPHASIS | HEAVY EMPHASIS |
| Learning mathematics vocabulary | 0 (0.1) | 8 (0.9) | 56 (1.8) | 36 (1.7) |
| Learning mathematical procedures and/or algorithms | 1 (0.3) | 8 (0.9) | 39 (1.5) | 52 (1.7) |
| Learning to perform computations with speed and accuracy | 2 (0.6) | 17 (1.4) | 49 (2.0) | 33 (2.1) |
| Understanding mathematical ideas | 0 ---† | 2 (0.5) | 31 (1.6) | 67 (1.7) |
| Learning how to do mathematics (e.g., consider how to approach a problem, explain and justify solutions, create and use mathematical models) | 0 (0.1) | 4 (0.7) | 34 (1.8) | 62 (1.9) |
| Learning about real-life applications of mathematics | 1 (0.3) | 13 (1.4) | 53 (2.0) | 34 (1.9) |
| Increasing students' interest in mathematics | 1 (0.3) | 11 (1.2) | 47 (2.0) | 41 (1.9) |
| Developing students' confidence that they can successfully pursue careers in mathematics | 3 (0.6) | 20 (1.6) | 39 (1.8) | 37 (1.7) |
| Learning test-taking skills/strategies | 4 (0.7) | 25 (1.6) | 41 (1.4) | 30 (1.8) |

$\dagger$ No elementary school mathematics teachers in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

## Table MTQ 33.2

## Emphasis Given in Middle School Mathematics Classes to Various Instructional Objectives

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NONE | MINIMAL EMPHASIS | MODERATE EMPHASIS | HEAVY EMPHASIS |
| Learning mathematics vocabulary | 0 (0.1) | 12 (1.3) | 61 (2.1) | 27 (1.9) |
| Learning mathematical procedures and/or algorithms | 0 (0.0) | 5 (0.8) | 42 (2.4) | 53 (2.6) |
| Learning to perform computations with speed and accuracy | 1 (0.4) | 28 (1.9) | 51 (2.2) | 20 (1.6) |
| Understanding mathematical ideas | $0-\ldots-$ | 2 (0.8) | 27 (1.9) | 71 (1.9) |
| Learning how to do mathematics (e.g., consider how to approach a problem, explain and justify solutions, create and use mathematical models) | 0 (0.1) | 3 (0.6) | 36 (2.1) | 61 (2.1) |
| Learning about real-life applications of mathematics | 0 (0.1) | 12 (1.6) | 50 (2.2) | 37 (1.9) |
| Increasing students' interest in mathematics | 0 (0.1) | 17 (1.6) | 49 (2.1) | 34 (2.0) |
| Developing students' confidence that they can successfully pursue careers in mathematics | 1 (0.3) | 15 (1.7) | 43 (2.2) | 41 (2.0) |
| Learning test-taking skills/strategies | 1 (0.2) | 30 (2.2) | 47 (2.4) | 23 (1.5) |

$\dagger$ No middle school mathematics teachers in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

Table MTQ 33.3

## Emphasis Given in High School

 Mathematics Classes to Various Instructional Objectives

## Table MTQ 34.1

## Elementary School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Using Various Activities in Their Classrooms

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NEVER | RARELY (E.G., A FEW TIMES A YEAR) | SOMETIMES (E.G., ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH) | OFTEN (E.G. ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK) | ALL OR ALMOST ALL MATHEMATICS LESSONS |
| Explain mathematical ideas to the whole class | 0 (0.2) | 1 (0.4) | 4 (0.8) | 21 (1.9) | 73 (2.0) |
| Engage the whole class in discussions | 0 (0.2) | 1 (0.3) | 4 (0.8) | 24 (1.4) | 71 (1.5) |
| Have students work in small groups | 0 (0.1) | 1 (0.4) | 10 (1.1) | 37 (2.0) | 51 (2.4) |
| Provide manipulatives for students to use in problem-solving/investigations | 0 (0.1) | 4 (0.6) | 18 (1.3) | 43 (2.0) | 35 (2.0) |
| Use flipped instruction (have students watch lectures/demonstrations outside of class to prepare for in-class activities) | 53 (2.2) | 22 (1.5) | 12 (1.1) | 7 (1.0) | 6 (1.2) |
| Have students read from a textbook or other material in class, either aloud or to themselves | 30 (1.6) | 24 (1.4) | 18 (1.7) | 16 (1.3) | 12 (1.1) |
| Have students write their reflections (e.g., in their journals, on exit tickets) in class or for homework | 14 (1.4) | 20 (1.3) | 25 (1.7) | 28 (1.5) | 13 (1.2) |
| Focus on literacy skills (e.g., informational reading or writing strategies) | 11 (1.3) | 21 (1.5) | 27 (1.4) | 25 (1.5) | 16 (1.5) |
| Have students practice for standardized tests | 19 (1.5) | 27 (1.5) | 28 (1.5) | 18 (1.5) | 8 (0.8) |

## Table MTQ 34.2

## Middle School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Using Various Activities in Their Classrooms

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NEVER | RARELY (E.G., A FEW TIMES A YEAR) | SOMETIMES (E.G., ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH) | OFTEN (E.G., ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK) | ALL OR <br> ALMOST ALL MATHEMATICS LESSONS |
| Explain mathematical ideas to the whole class | $0-\ldots-$ | 1 (0.2) | 5 (0.9) | 36 (2.0) | 59 (2.2) |
| Engage the whole class in discussions | 0 (0.0) | 1 (0.4) | 8 (1.0) | 36 (2.1) | 54 (2.0) |
| Have students work in small groups | 0 (0.0) | 3 (0.7) | 20 (2.2) | 43 (2.3) | 35 (2.1) |
| Provide manipulatives for students to use in problem-solving/investigations | 3 (1.1) | 20 (1.7) | 48 (2.4) | 24 (2.0) | 6 (0.9) |
| Use flipped instruction (have students watch lectures/demonstrations outside of class to prepare for in-class activities) | 46 (2.1) | 30 (2.1) | 14 (1.5) | 8 (1.1) | 2 (0.5) |
| Have students read from a textbook or other material in class, either aloud or to themselves | 28 (2.2) | 27 (2.0) | 22 (2.1) | 17 (2.2) | 7 (1.2) |
| Have students write their reflections (e.g., in their journals, on exit tickets) in class or for homework | 12 (1.3) | 29 (2.0) | 29 (1.8) | 22 (1.6) | 8 (1.1) |
| Focus on literacy skills (e.g., informational reading or writing strategies) | 16 (1.5) | 34 (2.0) | 29 (1.9) | 16 (1.4) | 4 (0.7) |
| Have students practice for standardized tests | 7 (1.0) | 29 (2.2) | 33 (2.0) | 25 (2.0) | 7 (1.0) |
| $\dagger$ No middle school mathematics teachers in error of this estimate. | mple sele | this response | option. Thus, it is | t possible to c | te the standard |

Table MTQ 34.3

## High School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Using Various Activities in Their Classrooms

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NEVER | RARELY (E.G., A FEW TIMES A YEAR) | SOMETIMES (E.G., ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH) | OFTEN (E.G. ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK) | ALL OR ALMOST ALL MATHEMATICS LESSONS |
| Explain mathematical ideas to the whole class | 0 (0.1) | 1 (0.3) | 4 (0.7) | 29 (1.6) | 65 (1.7) |
| Engage the whole class in discussions | 0 (0.2) | 4 (0.7) | 12 (1.0) | 34 (1.6) | 50 (1.7) |
| Have students work in small groups | 1 (0.5) | 6 (1.0) | 23 (1.4) | 41 (1.8) | 30 (1.7) |
| Provide manipulatives for students to use in problem-solving/investigations | 8 (0.9) | 33 (1.5) | 39 (1.7) | 16 (1.2) | 4 (0.8) |
| Use flipped instruction (have students watch lectures/demonstrations outside of class to prepare for in-class activities) | 44 (1.7) | 30 (1.5) | 16 (1.3) | 6 (0.8) | 4 (1.1) |
| Have students read from a textbook or other material in class, either aloud or to themselves | 34 (1.8) | 30 (1.5) | 20 (1.6) | 10 (1.0) | 6 (1.0) |
| Have students write their reflections (e.g., in their journals, on exit tickets) in class or for homework | 27 (1.3) | 29 (1.5) | 25 (1.6) | 14 (1.2) | 5 (0.9) |
| Focus on literacy skills (e.g., informational reading or writing strategies) | 26 (1.5) | 33 (1.5) | 24 (1.1) | 13 (1.1) | 4 (0.8) |
| Have students practice for standardized tests | 14 (1.3) | 25 (1.6) | 32 (1.4) | 21 (1.3) | 8 (0.8) |

Table MTQ 35.1

## Elementary School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Students Engaging in Various Aspects of Mathematics Practices



| Develop a mathematical model (meaning, a representation of relevant information and relationships such as an equation, tape diagram, algorithm, or function) to solve a mathematics problem | 3 (0.5) | 5 (0.9) | 17 (1.5) | 39 (1.9) | 36 (1.7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Determine what tools (e.g., pencil and paper, manipulatives, ruler, protractor, calculator, spreadsheet) are appropriate for solving a mathematics problem | 2 (0.5) | 7 (1.0) | 19 (1.6) | 38 (1.8) | 34 (1.6) |
| Determine what units are appropriate for expressing numerical answers, data, and/or measurements | 3 (0.5) | 6 (0.9) | 19 (1.6) | 39 (1.7) | 33 (1.9) |
| Discuss how certain terms or phrases may have specific meanings in mathematics that are different from their meaning in everyday language | 3 (0.6) | 10 (1.1) | 26 (1.4) | 40 (2.0) | 22 (1.5) |
| Identify patterns or characteristics of numbers, diagrams, or graphs that may be helpful in solving a mathematics problem | 1 (0.4) | 3 (0.6) | 18 (1.4) | 45 (1.9) | 33 (1.9) |
| Work on generating a rule or formula (e.g., based on multiple problems, patterns, or repeated calculations) | 6 (0.8) | 9 (1.0) | 27 (1.7) | 38 (1.8) | 20 (1.3) |

## Table MTQ 35.2

Middle School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Students Engaging in Various Aspects of Mathematics Practices

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NEVER | RARELY (E.G., A FEW TIMES A YEAR) | SOMETIMES (E.G., ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH) | OFTEN (E.G. ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK) | ALL OR <br> ALMOST ALL MATHEMATICS LESSONS |
| Work on challenging problems that require thinking beyond just applying rules, algorithms, or procedures | 0 (0.1) | 1 (0.4) | 23 (2.0) | 53 (2.2) | 22 (1.7) |
| Figure out what a challenging problem is asking (by talking with their classmates and/or using manipulatives, pictures, diagrams, tables, or equations) | 0 (0.2) | 4 (0.8) | 23 (1.8) | 51 (2.3) | 22 (1.5) |
| Reflect on their solution strategies as they work through a mathematics problem and revise as needed | 0 (0.1) | 6 (1.0) | 29 (1.8) | 43 (2.0) | 22 (1.6) |
| Continue working through a mathematics problem when they reach points of difficulty, challenge, or error | 0 (0.1) | 3 (0.5) | 16 (1.8) | 49 (1.9) | 32 (1.9) |
| Determine whether their answer makes sense (e.g., the answer has reasonable magnitude or sign, uses appropriate units, fits the context of the problem) | 0 (0.1) | 2 (0.8) | 13 (1.7) | 41 (2.0) | 44 (2.0) |
| Represent aspects of a problem using mathematical symbols, pictures, diagrams, tables, or objects in order to solve it | 0 (0.1) | 3 (0.9) | 21 (2.0) | 42 (2.2) | 33 (1.9) |
| Provide mathematical reasoning to explain, justify, or prove their thinking | 0 (0.0) | 2 (0.8) | 15 (1.7) | 44 (2.3) | 39 (2.3) |
| Compare and contrast different solution strategies for a mathematics problem in terms of their strengths and limitations (e.g., their efficiency, generalizability, interpretability by others) | 1 (0.3) | 8 (0.9) | 35 (2.1) | 40 (1.9) | 15 (1.4) |
| Analyze the mathematical reasoning of others (e.g., decide if their reasoning makes sense, identify correct ideas or flaws in their thinking) | 1 (0.2) | 9 (1.0) | 30 (2.3) | 40 (2.2) | 21 (1.8) |
| Pose questions to clarify, challenge, or improve the mathematical reasoning of others | 1 (0.3) | 9 (1.1) | 22 (1.8) | 39 (2.3) | 30 (2.0) |
| Identify relevant information and relationships that could be used to solve a mathematics problem (e.g., quantities and relationships needed to develop an equation that illustrates a situation or determines an outcome) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (0.4) | 20 (2.0) | 46 (2.3) | 32 (2.0) |


| Develop a mathematical model (meaning, a representation of relevant information and relationships such as an equation, tape diagram, algorithm, or function) to solve a mathematics problem | 0 (0.0) | 5 (0.9) | 25 (2.1) | 44 (2.1) | 26 (1.7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Determine what tools (e.g., pencil and paper, manipulatives, ruler, protractor, calculator, spreadsheet) are appropriate for solving a mathematics problem | 1 (0.3) | 13 (1.6) | 23 (1.7) | 36 (2.0) | 26 (1.7) |
| Determine what units are appropriate for expressing numerical answers, data, and/or measurements | 0 (0.1) | 4 (0.7) | 22 (1.4) | 45 (2.0) | 29 (1.9) |
| Discuss how certain terms or phrases may have specific meanings in mathematics that are different from their meaning in everyday language | 0 (0.2) | 5 (0.8) | 29 (2.0) | 42 (1.8) | 24 (1.6) |
| Identify patterns or characteristics of numbers, diagrams, or graphs that may be helpful in solving a mathematics problem | 0 (0.1) | 1 (0.4) | 22 (1.8) | 46 (2.0) | 31 (1.9) |
| Work on generating a rule or formula (e.g., based on multiple problems, patterns, or repeated calculations) | 0 (0.1) | 5 (0.8) | 25 (1.8) | 47 (2.0) | 22 (1.9) |

## Table MTQ 35.3

## High School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Students Engaging in Various Aspects of Mathematics Practices

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Develop a mathematical model (meaning, a <br> representation of relevant information and <br> relationships such as an equation, tape <br> diagram, algorithm, or function) to solve a <br> mathematics problem | $1(0.4)$ | $8(0.9)$ | $27(1.9)$ | $41(1.6)$ | $23(1.5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Determine what tools (e.g., pencil and paper, manipulatives, ruler, protractor, calculator, spreadsheet) are appropriate for solving a mathematics problem

4 (0.5)
12 (1.3)
25 (1.5)
33 (1.6)
26 (1.5)
Determine what units are appropriate for expressing numerical answers, data, and/or measurements have specific meanings in mathematics that are different from their meaning in everyday


Identify patterns or characteristics of numbers, diagrams, or graphs that may be helpful in solving a mathematics problem

Work on generating a rule or formula (e.g., based on multiple problems, patterns, or repeated calculations)

Table MTQ 36

## Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report Incorporating Coding Into Mathematics Instruction, by Grade Range

PERCENT OF CLASSES

|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Never | $74(2.0)$ | $86(2.1)$ | $89(1.0)$ |
| Rarely (e.g., A few times per year) | $15(1.7)$ | $11(1.6)$ | $9(0.9)$ |
| Sometimes (e.g., Once or twice a month) | $7(1.1)$ | $3(1.3)$ | $2(0.4)$ |
| Often (e.g., Once or twice a week) | $3(0.8)$ | $0(0.3)$ | $1(0.2)$ |
| All or almost all mathematics lessons | $0(0.3)$ | $0(0.1)$ | $0(0.1)$ |

Table MTQ 37
Amount of Homework Assigned in Mathematics Classes Per Week, by Grade Range
PERCENT OF CLASSES

|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | $9(1.5)$ | $5(1.5)$ | $4(0.7)$ |
| 1 -15 minutes per week | $17(1.7)$ | $7(1.3)$ | $4(0.7)$ |
| 16-30 minutes per week | $25(1.9)$ | $16(2.1)$ | $12(1.6)$ |
| 31-60 minutes per week | $31(2.3)$ | $34(2.4)$ | $29(1.7)$ |
| 61-90 minutes per week | $11(1.5)$ | $21(2.2)$ | $26(1.6)$ |
| 91-120 minutes per week | $6(1.0)$ | $13(2.0)$ | $14(1.3)$ |
| More than 2 hours per week | $1(0.4)$ | $4(1.3)$ | $12(1.5)$ |

Table MTQ 38
Frequency of Required External Mathematics Testing in Mathematics Classes, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Never | $9(1.3)$ | $1(0.4)$ | $20(1.6)$ |
| Once a year | $9(1.3)$ | $12(2.1)$ | $25(1.9)$ |
| Twice a year | $9(1.4)$ | $11(1.6)$ | $22(1.8)$ |
| Three or four times a year | $48(2.8)$ | $43(2.7)$ | $24(1.7)$ |
| Five or more times a year | $25(2.2)$ | $33(2.7)$ | $10(1.3)$ |

Table MTQ 39
Availability of Projection Devices in Mathematics Classrooms, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Always available in your classroom | $96(0.8)$ | $96(1.0)$ | $94(1.0)$ |
| Available upon request | $2(0.5)$ | $3(0.9)$ | $5(1.0)$ |
| Not available | $2(0.7)$ | $1(0.4)$ | $1(0.4)$ |

Table MTQ 40.1
Adequacy of Classroom Resources for Mathematics Instruction in Elementary Schools

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT ADEQUATE 1 | 2 | SOMEWHAT ADEQUATE 3 | 4 | ADEQUATE <br> 5 |
| Instructional technology (e.g., calculators, computers, probes/sensors) | 7 (1.2) | 5 (1.0) | 21 (1.8) | 15 (1.5) | 52 (2.2) |
| Measurement tools (e.g., protractors, rulers) | 3 (0.7) | 3 (0.7) | 15 (1.4) | 16 (1.7) | 63 (2.3) |
| Manipulatives (e.g., pattern blocks, algebra tiles) | 1 (0.5) | 3 (0.6) | 9 (1.5) | 19 (1.9) | 68 (2.2) |
| Consumable supplies (e.g., graphing paper, batteries) | 6 (1.1) | 8 (1.3) | 21 (2.1) | 19 (1.8) | 45 (2.7) |

## Table MTQ 40.2 <br> Adequacy of Classroom Resources for Mathematics Instruction in Middle Schools

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT ADEQUATE 1 | 2 | SOMEWHAT ADEQUATE 3 | 4 | ADEQUATE <br> 5 |
| Instructional technology (e.g., calculators, computers, probes/sensors) | 3 (1.0) | 3 (1.5) | 14 (1.7) | 15 (1.7) | 65 (2.7) |
| Measurement tools (e.g., protractors, rulers) | 2 (0.6) | 3 (1.4) | 13 (1.8) | 17 (1.8) | 65 (2.7) |
| Manipulatives (e.g., pattern blocks, algebra tiles) | 8 (2.3) | 6 (1.2) | 23 (2.4) | 21 (2.2) | 42 (2.8) |
| Consumable supplies (e.g., graphing paper, batteries) | 3 (0.7) | 3 (0.8) | 19 (2.1) | 23 (2.3) | 52 (2.7) |

Table MTQ 40.3
Adequacy of Classroom Resources for Mathematics Instruction in High Schools

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT ADEQUATE 1 | 2 | SOMEWHAT ADEQUATE $3$ | 4 | ADEQUATE <br> 5 |
| Instructional technology (e.g., calculators, computers, probes/sensors) | 1 (0.4) | 2 (0.6) | 11 (1.4) | 16 (1.9) | 69 (2.1) |
| Measurement tools (e.g., protractors, rulers) | 3 (0.6) | 4 (0.7) | 14 (1.4) | 16 (1.4) | 64 (1.8) |
| Manipulatives (e.g., pattern blocks, algebra tiles) | 14 (1.3) | 14 (1.7) | 21 (1.5) | 15 (1.6) | 35 (2.2) |
| Consumable supplies (e.g., graphing paper, batteries) | 4 (0.7) | 5 (1.0) | 14 (1.2) | 22 (1.7) | 55 (1.7) |

Table MTQ 41.1

## Frequency of Use of Various Instructional Resources in Elementary School Mathematics Classes

|  |  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table MTQ 41.2

## Frequency of Use of Various Instructional Resources in Middle School Mathematics Classes

|  |  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Table MTQ 41.3

Frequency of Use of Various Instructional Resources in High School Mathematics Classes

|  |  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table MTQ 42
Mathematics Classes for Which the District/Diocese Designates Instructional Materials to Be Used

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |
| :--- | :---: |
| Elementary | $91(1.3)$ |
| Middle | $80(2.1)$ |
| High | $66(1.7)$ |

Table MTQ 43
Mathematics Classes for Which Various Types of Instructional Materials Are Designated, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Commercially published textbooks (printed or electronic), including the supplementary materials (e.g., worksheets) that accompany the textbooks | 81 (1.9) | 70 (2.6) | 60 (1.8) |
| State county/district/diocese-developed instructional materials | 40 (2.1) | 29 (2.0) | 21 (1.4) |
| Online units or courses that students work through at their own pace (e.g., iReady, Edgenuity) | 30 (1.7) | 27 (2.5) | 8 (1.2) |
| Lessons or resources from websites that have a subscription fee or per lesson cost (e.g., BrainPOP, Discovery Ed, Teachers Pay Teachers) | 28 (1.8) | 18 (1.6) | 10 (1.0) |
| Lessons or resources from websites that are free (e.g., Khan Academy, Illustrative Math) | 25 (1.7) | 24 (2.1) | 16 (1.2) |

There is no table for MTQ 44.

## Table MTQ 45a Copyright Year of Instructional Materials Used in Mathematics Classes, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $^{\dagger}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| 2018 | $1(0.5)$ | $1(0.3)$ | $1(0.4)$ |
| 2017 | $3(1.0)$ | $6(1.4)$ | $4(1.0)$ |
| 2016 | $6(1.4)$ | $7(2.2)$ | $6(0.8)$ |
| 2015 | $20(2.5)$ | $14(1.8)$ | $9(1.2)$ |
| 2014 | $19(2.2)$ | $17(2.2)$ | $12(1.8)$ |
| 2013 | $7(1.5)$ | $19(2.2)$ | $9(1.3)$ |
| 2012 or earlier | $45(2.9)$ | $36(3.2)$ | $60(2.2)$ |

[^1]Table MTQ 45b. 1
Publishers of Textbooks Used in Elementary School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $\dagger$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Houghton Mifflin Harcourt | $39(3.2)$ |
| Pearson | $21(3.1)$ |
| McGraw-Hill Education | $19(2.6)$ |
| Great Minds | $10(1.9)$ |
| Wiley | $3(0.9)$ |
| Curriculum Associates | $2(0.7)$ |
| Origo Education | $2(1.0)$ |
| Marshall Cavendish Education | $1(0.6)$ |
| Sharon Wells Mathematics | $1(0.1)$ |
| The Math Learning Center | $1(0.4)$ |
| Abeka | $0(0.1)$ |
| Alpha Omega Publications | $0(0.0)$ |
| BJU Press | $0(0.2)$ |
| Carson-Dellosa | $0(0.1)$ |
| CPM Educational Program | $0(0.1)$ |
| Developing Mathematical Thinking Institute | $0(0.0)$ |
| Elmwood Education | $0(0.1)$ |
| Georgia Department of Education | $0(0.2)$ |
| Heinemann | $0(0.2)$ |
| Mentoring Minds | $0(0.3)$ |
| Minneapolis Public Schools | $0(0.1)$ |
| Odysseyware | $0(0.0)$ |
| Pensacola Christian College | $0(0.0)$ |
| Sadlier | $0(0.2)$ |
| Teaching Strategies | $0(0.2)$ |
| Usbourne | $0(0.1)$ |
| Zearn | $0(0.2)$ |
| $\dagger$ Includes only elementary mathematics classes for which teachers indicated in $Q 41$ that they use one or multiple commercially |  |
| published textbooks. |  |

## Table MTQ 45b. 2

## Publishers of Textbooks Used in Middle School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Houghton Mifflin Harcourt | 37 (3.1) |
| McGraw-Hill Education | 26 (2.8) |
| Pearson | 17 (2.5) |
| Great Minds | 6 (1.7) |
| Carnegie Learning | 3 (1.0) |
| CPM Educational Program | 3 (1.4) |
| Curriculum Associates | 2 (0.5) |
| Larson Texts | 2 (0.8) |
| Sadlier | 2 (0.7) |
| AgileMind | 1 (0.6) |
| Marshall Cavendish Education | 1 (0.3) |
| The College Board | 1 (0.6) |
| BJU Press | 0 (0.2) |
| Discovery Education | 0 (0.1) |
| Illustrative Mathematics | 0 (0.1) |
| Mathematics Vision Project | 0 (0.1) |
| Mentoring Minds | 0 (0.2) |
| SMc Curriculum | 0 (0.3) |
| Stenhouse Publishers | 0 (0.1) |
| University of Utah | 0 (0.2) |
| Voyager Sopris Learning | 0 (0.1) |
| Wiley | 0 (0.3) |
| $\dagger$ Includes only middle school mathematics classes for which teachers indicated in Q41 that they use one or multiple commercially published textbooks. |  |

## Table MTQ 45b. 3

Publishers of Textbooks Used in High School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pearson | 27 (2.2) |
| Houghton Mifflin Harcourt | 26 (1.9) |
| McGraw-Hill Education | 19 (1.9) |
| Cengage | 9 (1.1) |
| CPM Educational Program | 3 (0.9) |
| Larson Texts | 2 (0.5) |
| Macmillan | 2 (0.4) |
| Birkh | 1 (0.6) |
| Carnegie Learning | 1 (0.4) |
| eMATHinstruction | 1 (0.6) |
| Great Minds | 1 (0.6) |
| Haese Mathematics | 1 (0.2) |
| Key Curriculum Press | 1 (0.4) |
| Oxford University Press | 1 (0.3) |
| The College Board | 1 (0.4) |
| Wiley | 1 (0.3) |
| Academic Internet Publishers | 0 (0.0) |
| Accelerated Christian Education | 0 (0.2) |
| Algebra Nation | 0 (0.1) |
| AQR Press | 0 (0.1) |
| Barron's Educational Series | 0 (0.3) |
| BJU Press | 0 (0.2) |
| Cambridge | 0 (0.2) |
| Continental Press | 0 (0.1) |
| Cosenza \& Associates | 0 (0.0) |
| Council for Economic Education | 0 (0.0) |
| Education Time Courseware, Inc. | 0 (0.1) |
| Hilliard City Schools | 0 (0.1) |
| Kaplan | 0 (0.1) |
| Kendall Hunt | 0 (0.3) |
| Lampo Group | 0 (0.2) |
| Mike Patterson | 0 (0.1) |
| Olympus Publishing | 0 (0.1) |
| Perfection Learning | 0 (0.1) |
| Perfection Learning Corp | 0 (0.1) |
| Polka Dot Publishing | 0 (0.1) |
| Ramsey Education | 0 (0.3) |
| Ramsey Press | 0 (0.0) |
| ResponsiveEd | 0 (0.0) |
| Southern Regional Education Board | 0 (0.0) |
| Springer International Publishing | 0 (0.0) |
| The Dana Center | 0 (0.1) |


| The Princeton Review | $0(0.1)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| University of Washington | $0(0.0)$ |
| Voyager Sopris Learning | $0(0.1)$ |
| Whole Spirit Press | $0(0.1)$ |
| Wieser Educational | $0(0.1)$ |
| William S. Hart Union High School District | $0(0.2)$ |
| XYZ Textbooks | $0(0.0)$ |

$\dagger$ Includes only high school mathematics classes for which teachers indicated in Q41 that they use one or multiple commercially published textbooks.

Table MTQ 46.1
Elementary School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report the Effect Various Factors Have on Mathematics Instruction

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INHIBITS EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION 1 | 2 | NEUTRAL OR MIXED 3 | 4 | PROMOTES EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION | N/A |
| Current state standards | 2 (0.6) | 2 (0.6) | 17 (1.7) | 23 (1.5) | 55 (2.1) | 2 (0.5) |
| District/Diocese and/or school pacing guides | 5 (0.9) | 8 (1.3) | 20 (1.9) | 24 (2.1) | 39 (2.0) | 4 (0.8) |
| State district/diocese testing/accountability policies ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 9 (1.3) | 11 (1.6) | 33 (2.6) | 20 (1.8) | 22 (1.6) | 4 (1.0) |
| Textbook selection policies | 7 (1.2) | 10 (1.5) | 35 (2.3) | 18 (1.7) | 20 (1.5) | 10 (1.5) |
| Teacher evaluation policies | 4 (0.9) | 7 (1.2) | 38 (2.2) | 21 (1.6) | 26 (2.0) | 5 (1.0) |
| Students' prior knowledge and skills | 5 (1.2) | 9 (1.5) | 15 (1.7) | 20 (1.8) | 50 (2.1) | 1 (0.3) |
| Students' motivation, interest, and effort in mathematics | 5 (1.1) | 8 (1.3) | 15 (1.8) | 23 (2.1) | 47 (2.2) | 0 (0.2) |
| Parent/guardian expectations and involvement | 11 (1.8) | 12 (1.5) | 23 (1.8) | 25 (2.2) | 27 (2.2) | 2 (0.7) |
| Principal support | 2 (0.7) | 3 (0.9) | 17 (1.6) | 23 (2.1) | 54 (2.6) | 1 (0.4) |
| Amount of time for you to plan, individually and with colleagues | 5 (1.0) | 8 (1.4) | 16 (1.6) | 21 (1.9) | 49 (2.6) | 1 (0.3) |
| Amount of time available for your professional development | 6 (1.0) | 10 (1.2) | 25 (2.0) | 22 (2.0) | 36 (2.1) | 2 (0.5) |
| Amount of instructional time devoted to mathematics | 2 (0.6) | 3 (0.7) | 12 (1.5) | 23 (2.5) | 60 (2.4) | 1 (0.5) |

## Table MTQ 46.2

Middle School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report the Effect Various Factors Have on Mathematics Instruction

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INHIBITS EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION | 2 | NEUTRAL OR MIXED <br> 3 | 4 | PROMOTES EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION | N/A |
| Current state standards | 2 (0.6) | 4 (0.8) | 24 (2.8) | 25 (2.0) | 44 (2.9) | 1 (0.4) |
| District/Diocese and/or school pacing guides | 4 (1.2) | 5 (0.9) | 27 (2.4) | 27 (2.2) | 26 (2.5) | 11 (1.8) |
| State district/diocese testing/accountability policies ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 11 (2.1) | 13 (1.8) | 34 (2.9) | 20 (2.3) | 18 (2.4) | 4 (1) |
| Textbook selection policies | 9 (1.8) | 11 (1.8) | 38 (2.8) | 15 (2.1) | 14 (1.7) | 13 (2.3) |
| Teacher evaluation policies | 4 (0.8) | 8 (1.3) | 40 (2.6) | 20 (2.0) | 21 (2.3) | 7 (1.7) |
| Students' prior knowledge and skills | 13 (2.0) | 14 (1.7) | 15 (1.6) | 25 (2.2) | 33 (2.3) | 2 (1.4) |
| Students' motivation, interest, and effort in mathematics | 12 (2.1) | 15 (1.6) | 16 (1.8) | 22 (2.2) | 32 (2.3) | 2 (1.5) |
| Parent/guardian expectations and involvement | 10 (1.8) | 16 (1.7) | 26 (1.9) | 23 (2.2) | 21 (2) | 4 (1.6) |
| Principal support | 0 (0.2) | 4 (1.4) | 20 (1.8) | 26 (2.4) | 46 (2.5) | 3 (0.8) |
| Amount of time for you to plan, individually and with colleagues | 4 (1.2) | 7 (1.6) | 15 (2) | 25 (2.5) | 45 (2.6) | 3 (0.9) |
| Amount of time available for your professional development | 4 (1.5) | 10 (1.4) | 31 (2.8) | 26 (2.3) | 27 (2.8) | 2 (0.8) |

Table MTQ 46.3

## High School Mathematics Classes in Which Teachers Report the Effect Various Factors Have on Mathematics Instruction

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INHIBITS EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION 1 | 2 | NEUTRAL OR MIXED | 4 | PROMOTES EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION $5$ | N/A |
| Current state standards | 3 (0.6) | 4 (0.9) | 29 (1.5) | 28 (1.7) | 30 (1.8) | 6 (0.9) |
| District/Diocese and/or school pacing guides | 3 (0.9) | 5 (0.9) | 26 (1.7) | 24 (2.0) | 26 (1.9) | 16 (1.4) |
| State district/diocese testing/accountability policies ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 7 (0.9) | 13 (1.7) | 34 (2.1) | 17 (1.4) | 17 (1.6) | 11 (1.2) |
| Textbook selection policies | 7 (1.1) | 6 (0.9) | 35 (2.0) | 20 (1.6) | 16 (1.6) | 16 (1.5) |
| Teacher evaluation policies | 5 (0.9) | 7 (0.7) | 38 (2.2) | 24 (1.6) | 20 (1.7) | 7 (1.0) |
| College entrance requirements | 1 (0.5) | 3 (0.5) | 31 (2.2) | 27 (1.9) | 27 (1.9) | 10 (1.3) |
| Students' prior knowledge and skills | 10 (1.4) | 17 (1.5) | 16 (1.4) | 24 (1.8) | 32 (1.9) | 0 (0.1) |
| Students' motivation, interest, and effort in mathematics | 12 (1.2) | 17 (1.5) | 18 (1.6) | 22 (1.5) | 30 (1.5) | 0 (0.2) |
| Parent/guardian expectations and involvement | 9 (1.2) | 14 (1.4) | 35 (1.9) | 21 (1.6) | 18 (1.5) | 3 (0.5) |
| Principal support | 1 (0.4) | 5 (0.8) | 22 (2.0) | 26 (1.7) | 42 (2.0) | 3 (0.6) |
| Amount of time for you to plan, individually and with colleagues | 6 (1.0) | 7 (1.0) | 18 (1.4) | 25 (1.9) | 43 (1.8) | 2 (0.4) |
| Amount of time available for your professional development | 5 (1.0) | 11 (1.4) | 29 (1.8) | 27 (1.7) | 26 (1.8) | 3 (0.7) |

$\dagger$ This item was presented only to public and Catholic schools.

## Table MTQ 47

Focus of the Most Recently Completed Mathematics Unit, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Number and Operations | $59(2.0)$ | $21(2.0)$ | $2(0.4)$ |
| Measurement and Data Representation | $24(1.8)$ | $5(0.9)$ | $1(0.3)$ |
| Algebra | $3(0.6)$ | $40(2.1)$ | $48(1.3)$ |
| Geometry | $14(1.3)$ | $21(1.8)$ | $22(1.3)$ |
| Probability | $0(0.2)$ | $5(1.1)$ | $2(0.4)$ |
| Statistics | $0(0.0)$ | $7(1.2)$ | $5(0.7)$ |
| Trigonometry | $0--\dagger$ | $0(0.2)$ | $11(0.9)$ |
| Calculus | $0---\dagger$ | $0---\dagger$ | $8(0.7)$ |

[^2]
## Table MTQ 48

Most Recent Mathematics Unit Based Primarily on Any Commercially Published Textbook or State/County/District-Developed Materials

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Elementary | $81(1.5)$ |
| Middle | $70(2.3)$ |
| High | $73(1.8)$ |

† Includes only mathematics classes for which teachers indicated in Q41 that they use commercially published textbooks or state/county/district/diocese-developed units or lessons more than once a month.

Table MTQ 49.1

## Ways Instructional Materials Were Used in the Most Recently Completed Unit in Elementary School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SOMEWHAT } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | TO A GREAT EXTENT 5 |
| I used these materials to guide the structure and content emphasis of the unit. | 0 (0.2) | 1 (0.4) | 11 (1.5) | 29 (2.5) | 59 (2.7) |
| I picked what is important from these materials and skipped the rest. | 17 (1.4) | 14 (1.6) | 20 (1.9) | 27 (2.1) | 22 (1.7) |
| I incorporated activities (e.g., problems, investigations, readings) from other sources to supplement what these materials were lacking. | 5 (0.9) | 6 (1.0) | 20 (1.4) | 35 (2.2) | 33 (2.2) |
| I modified activities from these materials. | 4 (0.8) | 8 (1.1) | 27 (2.0) | 36 (1.7) | 25 (1.9) |

$\dagger$ Includes only elementary mathematics classes for which teachers responded yes in Q48.

Table MTQ 49.2

## Ways Instructional Materials Were Used in the Most Recently Completed Unit in Middle School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT AT ALL 1 | 2 | SOMEWHAT <br> 3 | 4 | TO A GREAT EXTENT 5 |
| I used these materials to guide the structure and content emphasis of the unit. | 0 (0.2) | 1 (0.4) | 16 (1.8) | 33 (2.6) | 50 (2.9) |
| I picked what is important from these materials and skipped the rest. | 10 (1.6) | 13 (1.7) | 25 (2.8) | 31 (2.8) | 22 (2.1) |
| I incorporated activities (e.g., problems, investigations, readings) from other sources to supplement what these materials were lacking. | 7 (1.7) | 7 (1.7) | 22 (2.3) | 36 (2.5) | 29 (2.4) |
| I modified activities from these materials. | 3 (0.9) | 6 (1.1) | 29 (2.8) | 39 (2.6) | 23 (2.0) |

[^3]
## Table MTQ 49.3

## Ways Instructional Materials Were Used in the Most Recently Completed Unit in High School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT AT ALL 1 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SOMEWHAT } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | TO A GREAT EXTENT 5 |
| I used these materials to guide the structure and content emphasis of the unit. | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.3) | 17 (1.5) | 32 (2.1) | 49 (2.4) |
| I picked what is important from these materials and skipped the rest. | 12 (1.5) | 14 (1.3) | 23 (1.5) | 30 (1.8) | 22 (1.5) |
| I incorporated activities (e.g., problems, investigations, readings) from other sources to supplement what these materials were lacking. | 6 (0.7) | 9 (1.0) | 21 (1.8) | 33 (2.1) | 32 (2.2) |
| I modified activities from these materials. | 5 (0.8) | 8 (0.9) | 26 (2.1) | 39 (2.0) | 22 (1.4) |

## Table MTQ 50.1

Reasons Parts of the Instructional Materials Were Skipped in Elementary School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $\dagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT A FACTOR | A MINOR FACTOR | A MAJOR FACTOR |
| The mathematical ideas addressed in the activities I skipped are not included in my pacing guide/standards. | 35 (2.8) | 33 (2.4) | 32 (3.2) |
| I did not have the materials needed to implement the activities I skipped. | 74 (2.3) | 17 (1.9) | 9 (1.5) |
| I did not have the knowledge needed to implement the activities I skipped. | 91 (2.5) | 6 (2.0) | 3 (1.1) |
| The activities I skipped were too difficult for my students. | 62 (2.8) | 27 (2.2) | 11 (1.6) |
| My students already knew the mathematical ideas or were able to learn them without the activities I skipped. | 33 (2.9) | 35 (2.9) | 32 (2.8) |
| I have different activities for those mathematical ideas that work better than the ones I skipped. | 20 (2.2) | 33 (2.7) | 47 (2.8) |
| I did not have enough instructional time for the activities I skipped. | 39 (3.1) | 40 (2.9) | 21 (2.6) |
| † Includes only elementary school mathematics classes for which teachers responded yes in Q48 and indicated in Q49 that they "picked what was important from these materials and skipped the rest" to any extent. |  |  |  |

## Table MTQ 50.2

## Reasons Parts of the Instructional Materials Were Skipped in Middle School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $\dagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT A FACTOR | A MINOR FACTOR | A MAJOR FACTOR |
| The mathematical ideas addressed in the activities I skipped are not included in my pacing guide/standards. | 28 (3.1) | 34 (3.3) | 38 (3.5) |
| I did not have the materials needed to implement the activities I skipped. | 73 (3.0) | 21 (2.7) | 6 (1.3) |
| I did not have the knowledge needed to implement the activities I skipped. | 89 (2.4) | 10 (2.1) | 2 (0.7) |
| The activities I skipped were too difficult for my students. | 56 (3.6) | 33 (3.2) | 12 (2.0) |
| My students already knew the mathematical ideas or were able to learn them without the activities I skipped. | 41 (3.5) | 37 (3.7) | 22 (3.1) |
| I have different activities for those mathematical ideas that work better than the ones I skipped. | 20 (2.5) | 37 (2.9) | 44 (3.3) |
| I did not have enough instructional time for the activities I skipped. | 29 (3.1) | 40 (3.4) | 31 (3.1) |

$\dagger$ Includes only middle school mathematics classes for which teachers responded yes in Q48 and indicated in Q49 that they "picked what was important from these materials and skipped the rest" to any extent.

## Table MTQ 50.3

## Reasons Parts of the Instructional Materials Were Skipped in High School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $\dagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT A FACTOR | A MINOR FACTOR | A MAJOR FACTOR |
| The mathematical ideas addressed in the activities I skipped are not included in my pacing guide/standards. | 27 (2.1) | 35 (2.8) | 38 (2.6) |
| I did not have the materials needed to implement the activities I skipped. | 76 (2.2) | 19 (2.0) | 5 (1.2) |
| I did not have the knowledge needed to implement the activities I skipped. | 91 (1.6) | 8 (1.5) | 1 (0.5) |
| The activities I skipped were too difficult for my students. | 45 (2.5) | 39 (2.7) | 17 (2.1) |
| My students already knew the mathematical ideas or were able to learn them without the activities I skipped. | 46 (2.5) | 35 (2.6) | 18 (1.6) |
| I have different activities for those mathematical ideas that work better than the ones I skipped. | 26 (2.2) | 37 (2.7) | 37 (2.3) |
| I did not have enough instructional time for the activities I skipped. | 31 (2.4) | 34 (2.6) | 36 (2.6) |
| † Includes only high school mathematics classes for which responded yes in Q48 and indicated in Q49 that they "picked what was important from these materials and skipped the rest" to any extent. |  |  |  |

Table MTQ 51.1
Reasons Why the Instructional Materials Were Supplemented in Elementary School Mathematics Classes

PERCENT OF CLASSES ${ }^{\dagger}$

|  | NOT A <br> FACTOR | A MINOR <br> FACTOR | A MAJOR <br> FACTOR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| My pacing guide indicated that I should use supplemental activities. | $55(3.0)$ | $30(2.8)$ | $15(2.4)$ |
| Supplemental activities were needed to prepare students for standardized tests. | $40(2.9)$ | $36(2.7)$ | $25(2.3)$ |
| Supplemental activities were needed to provide students with additional practice. | $5(1.0)$ | $32(2.2)$ | $63(2.3)$ |
| Supplemental activities were needed so students at different levels of achievement <br> could increase their understanding of the ideas targeted in each activity. | $6(1.3)$ | $24(2.7)$ | $69(2.5)$ |
| I had additional activities that I liked. | $20(2.0)$ | $40(2.6)$ | $41(2.2)$ |

$\dagger$ Includes only elementary school mathematics classes for which teachers responded yes in Q48 and indicated in Q49 that they "incorporated activities (e.g., problems, investigations, readings) from other sources to supplement what these materials were lacking" to any extent.

Table MTQ 51.2
Reasons Why the Instructional Materials Were Supplemented in Middle School Mathematics Classes

## PERCENT OF CLASSES $\dagger$

|  | NOT A FACTOR | A MINOR FACTOR | A MAJOR FACTOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My pacing guide indicated that I should use supplemental activities. | 63 (3.7) | 25 (3.0) | 12 (2.3) |
| Supplemental activities were needed to prepare students for standardized tests. | 28 (3.4) | 43 (3.2) | 28 (2.9) |
| Supplemental activities were needed to provide students with additional practice. | 6 (1.3) | 26 (3.0) | 68 (3.1) |
| Supplemental activities were needed so students at different levels of achievement could increase their understanding of the ideas targeted in each activity. | 3 (1.0) | 32 (3.2) | 65 (3.2) |
| I had additional activities that I liked. | 15 (2.3) | 35 (2.9) | 50 (3.2) |
| $\dagger$ Includes only middle school mathematics classes for which teachers responded yes in Q48 and indicated in Q49 that they "incorporated activities (e.g., problems, investigations, readings) from other sources to supplement what these materials were lacking" to any extent. |  |  |  |

Table MTQ 51.3
Reasons Why the Instructional Materials Were Supplemented in High School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $\dagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT A FACTOR | A MINOR FACTOR | A MAJOR FACTOR |
| My pacing guide indicated that I should use supplemental activities. | 59 (2.6) | 31 (2.3) | 10 (1.7) |
| Supplemental activities were needed to prepare students for standardized tests. | 44 (2.6) | 34 (2.7) | 22 (2.0) |
| Supplemental activities were needed to provide students with additional practice. | 9 (1.6) | 31 (1.9) | 60 (2.2) |
| Supplemental activities were needed so students at different levels of achievement could increase their understanding of the ideas targeted in each activity. | 11 (1.9) | 34 (2.1) | 54 (2.3) |
| I had additional activities that I liked. | 20 (1.9) | 43 (2.2) | 37 (2.2) |

$\dagger$ Includes only high school mathematics classes for which teachers responded yes in Q48 and indicated in Q49 that they "incorporated activities (e.g., problems, investigations, readings) from other sources to supplement what these materials were lacking" to any extent.

## Table MTQ 52.1

## Reasons Why Instructional Materials Were Modified in Elementary School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $\boldsymbol{t}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | NOT A |  |  |

$\dagger$ Includes only elementary school mathematics classes for which teachers responded yes in Q48 and indicated in Q49 that they "modified activities from these materials" to any extent.

## Table MTQ 52.2

## Reasons Why Instructional Materials

 Were Modified in Middle School Mathematics Classes|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $\dagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT A FACTOR | A MINOR FACTOR | A MAJOR FACTOR |
| I did not have the necessary materials/supplies for the original activities. | 71 (3.0) | 24 (2.8) | 5 (1.4) |
| The original activities were too difficult conceptually for my students. | 45 (3.2) | 38 (3.0) | 18 (2.4) |
| The original activities were too easy conceptually for my students. | 56 (3.2) | 35 (2.9) | 9 (1.6) |
| I did not have enough instructional time to implement the activities as designed. | 32 (2.7) | 40 (2.8) | 28 (3.2) |
| The original activities were too structured for my students. | 65 (3.2) | 29 (3.1) | 6 (1.5) |
| The original activities were not structured enough for my students. | 61 (3.1) | 32 (3.4) | 7 (1.4) |

$\dagger$ Includes only middle school mathematics classes for which teachers responded yes in Q48 and indicated in Q49 that they "modified activities from these materials" to any extent.

Table MTQ 52.3
Reasons Why Instructional Materials Were Modified in High School Mathematics Classes

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES $\dagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOT A FACTOR | A MINOR FACTOR | A MAJOR FACTOR |
| I did not have the necessary materials/supplies for the original activities. | 72 (2.0) | 24 (2.0) | 5 (1.0) |
| The original activities were too difficult conceptually for my students. | 46 (2.8) | 38 (2.5) | 16 (2.0) |
| The original activities were too easy conceptually for my students. | 62 (2.1) | 31 (2.2) | 7 (1.4) |
| I did not have enough instructional time to implement the activities as designed. | 42 (2.6) | 34 (2.3) | 23 (1.8) |
| The original activities were too structured for my students. | 69 (2.2) | 27 (2.2) | 5 (1.4) |
| The original activities were not structured enough for my students. | 65 (2.0) | 30 (2.1) | 5 (1.0) |

$\dagger$ Includes only high school mathematics classes for which responded yes in Q48 and indicated in Q49 that they "modified activities from these materials" to any extent.

## Table MTQ 53.1

## Elementary School Mathematics Classes Taught by Teachers Feeling Prepared for Each of a Number of Tasks in the Most Recent Unit

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table MTQ 53.2
Middle School Mathematics Classes Taught by Teachers Feeling Prepared for Each of a Number of Tasks in the Most Recent Unit

|  |  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table MTQ 53.3
High School Mathematics Classes Taught by Teachers Feeling Prepared for Each of a Number of Tasks in the Most Recent Unit

|  |  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Table MTQ 54

Duration of the Most Recent Mathematics Lesson
AVERAGE NUMBER OF MINUTES

| Elementary | $65(0.8)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Middle | $57(1.0)$ |
| High | $61(0.7)$ |

Table MTQ 55
Average Percentage of Time Spent on Different Activities in the Most Recent Mathematics Lesson, by Grade Range

|  | AVERAGE PERCENT OF CLASS TIME |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Non-instructional activities (e.g., attendance taking, interruptions) | $8(0.3)$ | $11(0.3)$ | $10(0.2)$ |
| Whole class activities (e.g., lectures, explanations, discussions) | $35(0.7)$ | $39(0.8)$ | $42(0.7)$ |
| Small group work | $33(0.8)$ | $28(1.0)$ | $26(0.8)$ |
| Students working individually (e.g., reading textbooks, completing worksheets, <br> taking a test or quiz) | $24(0.6)$ | $22(0.7)$ | $22(0.7)$ |

Table MTQ 56
Mathematics Classes Participating in Various Activities in the Most Recent Lesson, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF CLASSES |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Teacher explaining a mathematical idea to the whole class | $89(1.3)$ | $88(1.6)$ | $91(1.0)$ |
| Teacher conducting a demonstration while students watched | $78(1.9)$ | $65(2.1)$ | $64(1.3)$ |
| Whole class discussion | $87(1.5)$ | $78(1.5)$ | $70(1.4)$ |
| Students working in small groups | $87(1.4)$ | $83(1.7)$ | $78(1.2)$ |
| Students completing textbook/worksheet problems | $77(1.6)$ | $76(1.7)$ | $78(1.4)$ |
| Students doing hands-on/manipulative activities | $65(2.1)$ | $24(1.8)$ | $17(1.5)$ |
| Students reading about mathematics | $17(1.4)$ | $15(1.5)$ | $15(1.3)$ |
| Students writing about mathematics (does not include students taking notes) | $27(1.6)$ | $19(1.6)$ | $14(1.1)$ |
| Practicing for standardized tests | $13(1.7)$ | $17(1.5)$ | $15(1.0)$ |
| Test or quiz | $18(1.8)$ | $15(1.5)$ | $19(1.2)$ |
| None of the above | $0---1$ | $0(0.1)$ | $0(0.1)$ |

$\dagger$ No elementary school mathematics teachers in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

Table MTQ 57
Sex of Mathematics Teachers, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| Female | $94(1.0)$ | $70(2.2)$ | $60(1.5)$ |
| Male | $6(1.0)$ | $30(2.2)$ | $40(1.5)$ |
| Other | $0(0.1)$ | $0--\uparrow$ | $0(0.1)$ |

$\dagger$ No middle school mathematics teachers in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

## Table MTQ 58

Mathematics Teachers of Hispanic or Latino Origin

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |
| :--- | :---: |
| Elementary | $10(1.4)$ |
| Middle | $8(1.5)$ |
| High | $7(1.1)$ |

Table MTQ 59
Race of Mathematics Teachers, by Grade Range

|  | PERCENT OF TEACHERS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $1(0.5)$ | $1(0.5)$ | $2(0.3)$ |
| Asian | $3(0.7)$ | $3(0.8)$ | $4(0.6)$ |
| Black or African American | $7(1.0)$ | $8(1.2)$ | $5(0.8)$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | $0(0.3)$ | $1(0.8)$ | $1(0.3)$ |
| White | $89(1.3)$ | $89(1.4)$ | $91(1.0)$ |

Table MTQ 60
Age of Mathematics Teachers

|  | MEAN AGE OF TEACHERS |
| :--- | :---: |
| Elementary | $42(0.4)$ |
| Middle | $42(0.5)$ |
| High | $42(0.3)$ |


[^0]:    $\dagger$ Teachers indicating in Q12 that they do not have an education degree are treated as not having a degree in these areas.

[^1]:    $\dagger$ Includes only mathematics classes for which teachers indicated in Q41 that they use one or multiple commercially published textbooks.

[^2]:    $\dagger$ No mathematics teachers at this grade range in the sample selected this response option. Thus, it is not possible to calculate the standard error of this estimate.

[^3]:    $\dagger$ Includes only middle school mathematics classes for which teachers responded yes in Q48.

