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## Session Overview

- About the 2018 NSSME+
- Changes in the K-12 science education system between 2012 and 2018
- How novice science teachers compare to veterans
- Factors associated with NGSS-aligned instruction
- Discussion


## About the 2018 NSSME +

- The 2018 NSSME+ is the sixth in a series of surveys dating back to 1977.
- It is the only survey specific to STEM education that provides nationally representative results.

The 2018 NSSME+, and this presentation, is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DGE-1642413. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.


## Topics Addressed

## Six different survey instruments

- Characteristics of the science/mathematics/ computer science teaching force:
- demographics
- preparation for teaching
- beliefs about teaching and learning
- perceptions of preparedness
- Instructional practices
- Factors that shape teachers' decisions about content and pedagogy
- Use of instructional materials
- Opportunities teachers have for professional growth
- How instructional resources are distributed


## Who's In the Sample

Two-stage random sample that targeted:

- 2,000 schools (public and private)
- Over 10,000 K-12 teachers

Very good response rate:

- 1,273 schools participated
- 86 percent of program representatives
- 78 percent of sampled teachers


## Interpreting Results

- After data collection, design weights were computed, adjusted for nonresponse, and applied to the data.
- The sampling and weighting processes mean that the results are national estimates of schools, teachers, and classes-not characteristics of the respondents.


## www.horizon-research.com/NSSME

Several reports and other products are available on our website, including:

- Technical report
- Highlights report
- Compendium of Tables
- Trends report
- Novice teacher report

Follow us on Twitter: @NSSMEatHRI \#NSSME
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## NSSME

## Trends in <br> Sec ondary

Science
Instruction from 2012 to 2018

## Teacher Characteristics

## The 2018 NSSME+ collected data on:

- Gender
- Race/ethnicity
- Age
- Years of teaching experience
- Content background (courses and degrees)
- Preparedness
- Beliefs

Note: In the charts that follow, an asterisk indicates a significant difference ( $p<0.05$ ) in the contrast of interest.
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## Gender and Race/Ethnicity

Between 2012 and 2018, the science teaching force did not change in terms of gender or race/ethnicity.

## Female Teachers



## Race/Ethnicity of Middle School Science Teachers



## Race/Ethnicity of High School Science Teachers



## Degrees Earned

Both middle and high school science teachers were more likely in 2018 than in 2012 to have a degree in sciencelengineering or science education.

In 2018, middle and high school life sciencel biology teachers were more likely to have a degree in their field than they were in 2012.

Likewise, high school chemistry teachers were more likely to have a degree in their field than in 2012.

NSSME

## Degrees Earned by Middle School Science Teachers



## Degrees Earned by High School Science Teachers



## Middle Grades Science Teachers With a Degree in Field



## High School Science Teachers With a Degree in Field




## Professional Development

## Between 2012 and 2018:

- there was no change in the amount of PD secondary science teachers participated in.
- teachers became less likely to participate in study groups and coaching.
- there was no change in the percentage of schools offering local, science-focused PD.

Amount of PD in Previous Three Years: Middle School Science Teachers


Amount of PD in Previous Three Years: High School Science Teachers


## Types of PD in Previous Three Years: Middlle School Science Teachers


$2012 \square 2018$

## Types of PD in Previous Three Years: High School Science Teachers


$2012 \square 2018$

## PD Workshops Offered Locally in Previous Three Years



## Science Courses

In 2018, schools were more likely than in 2012 to offer non-college prep courses and advanced courses in several science disciplines.

High schools were much more likely in 2018 to offer engineering courses, including non-college prep, college prep, and advanced courses.

## High Schools Offering Physics Courses


$■ 2012$ ■ 2018

## High Schools Offering Coordinated/ Integrated/Interdisciplinary

 Science Courses
$■ 2012 \square 2018$

High Schools Offering Environmental Science/Ecology Courses

$\square 2012 \square 2018$

## High Schools Offering Earth/Space Science Courses


$\square 2012 \square 2018$

## High Schools Offering Engineering Courses


$■ 2012 \square 2018$

## Access to AP and Special Opportunities

With the exception of access to AP Environmental Science (which increased), student access to AP courses did not change from 2012 to 2018.

Several special opportunities to take science/ engineering courses (e.g., dual enrollment, courses by telecommunications) became much more common in 2018.

## Access to AP Courses




## Science Programs Offered at High Schools
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## Science Instruction

Between 2012 and 2018, there was little change in science class activities in middle and high schools, with some exceptions, including:

- The likelihood of explaining a science idea to the whole class decreased.
- The likelihood of students working in small groups increased.


## Middle School Class Activities: All or Almost All Lessons
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## High School Class Activities: All or Almost All Lessons



## Adequacy of Resources

In 2018, middle school science teachers were more likely than in 2012 to view some resources as adequate:

- Equipment (e.g., thermometers, microscopes, beakers, Bunsen burners)
- Instructional technology (e.g., calculators, computers, probes/sensors)

In 2018, high school science teachers were more likely than in 2012 to view some resources as adequate:

- Equipment
- Consumable supplies
- Instructional technology


## Classes in Which Teachers Feel Various Resources are Adequate: Middle School



## Classes in Which Teachers Feel Various Resources are Adequate: High School



## Conclusions

The 2018 NSSME+ data point to several positive trends in secondary science, including:

- Increases in course taking and degrees earned among teachers
- Increased opportunities for students to take science courses by special means
- Less lecture and more group work
- More resources

The data also point to continued problem areas, including:

- Lack of diversity in teaching force
- Inadequate professional learning opportunities and participation


## Novice Secondary

 Science Teachers
## Teacher Characteristics

## The 2018 NSSME+ collected data on:

- Sex
- Race/ethnicity
- Age
- School Contexts
- Content background (certification, degrees and coursework)
- Beliefs
- Preparedness


## Characteristics of the Middle School Teaching Force

|  | Percent of Teachers |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Novice | Veteran |
| Female |  |  |
| Male | 68 | 73 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  | 25 |
| White | 89 | 92 |
| Black or African-American | 11 | 7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 8 | 6 |
| Asian | 2 | 1 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 2 | 2 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 1 | 0 |
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## Characteristics of the Middle School Teaching Force

Teacher Age*


## Middle School Contexts

|  | Percent of Teachers |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Novice | Veteran |
| School Type |  |  |
| Catholic | 8 | 6 |
| Non-Catholic Private | 5 | 7 |
| Public | 88 | 87 |
| Community Type |  |  |
| Rural | 31 | 24 |
| Suburban | 47 | 49 |
| Urban | 23 | 27 |

## Characteristics of the High School Teaching Force

|  | Percent of Teachers |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Novice | Veteran |
| Female |  |  |
| Male | 58 | 56 |
| Race/Ethnicity | 42 | 44 |
| White | 87 | 93 |
| Black or African-American | 6 | 4 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 11 | 5 |
| Asian | 7 | 4 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 2 | 2 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 |
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## Characteristics of the High School Teaching Force

Teacher Age*


## High School Contexts

|  | Percent of Teachers |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Novice | Veteran |
| School Type | 6 | 9 |
| Catholic | 7 | 6 |
| Non-Catholic Private | 86 | 85 |
| Public |  |  |
| Community Type |  |  |
| Rural | 22 | 26 |
| Suburban | 42 | 49 |
| Urban | 36 | 26 |

## Middle School Teacher Certification

## Paths to Certification*



## High School Teacher Certification

## Paths to Certification*



## Middle School Teacher Degrees



## High School Teacher Degrees



## Middle School Substantial Background



Novice Veteran

## High School Substantial Background


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran

## Middle School Teachers Agreeing With Various Reform-Oriented Teaching Beliefs


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran


## Middle School Teachers Agreeing With Various Traditional Teaching Beliefs


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran

## Middle School Teacher Beliefs

 Composites About Teaching and Learniing

## High School Teachers Agreeing With Various Reform-Oriented Teaching Beliefs


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran


## High School Teachers Agreeing With Various Traditional Teaching Beliefs


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran

## High School Teacher Beliefs Composites About Teaching and Learning



## Sec ondary Teacher Perceptions of Content Preparedness Composites



## Middle School Teachers Feeling Very Well Prepared to Teach Chemistry Topics



Novice ■ Veteran

## Middle School Teachers Feeling Very Well Prepared for Instructional Tasks


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran

## High School Teachers Feeling Very Well Prepared for Instructional Tasks


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran

## Middle School Teachers Feeling Very Well Prepared to Monitor and Address Student Understanding in Most Recent Unit


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran

## High School Teachers Feeling Very Well Prepared to Monitor and Address Student Understanding in Most Recent Unit


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran

## Science Instruction

## Middle School Classes with Heavy Emphasis on Instructional Objectives


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran

## Middle School Classes with Heavy Emphasis on Instructional Objectives


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran

## High School Classes with Heavy Emphasis on Instructional Objectives


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran

## High School Classes with Heavy Emphasis on Instructional Objectives


$\square$ Novice ■ Veteran

## Secondary Teachers Incorporating Engineering into Science Instruction



## Support for Novice Sec ondary Teachers

## Sec ondary Teacher Participation in Science PD in Previous Three Years

Middle*


High


## More than 35 hours of Science PD in Previous Three Years

Middle*


High*


## Duration of Formal Induction Programs



## Supports Provided as Part of Formal Induction Programs



## Takeaways

## Some key differences between novices and

 veterans:- Content preparedness/background
- Pedagogical preparedness
- Instructional beliefs

Many commonalities which suggest room for professional growth

- PD data suggest teachers are not getting the sustained support they need to "mature" as professionals throughout their teaching careers.


## Takeaways

Given the large percentage of novice teachers in schools that offer induction programs, perhaps it is possible to leverage induction program supports:

- School-based mentors might devote time to helping novices increase their science content knowledge or diversify their science teaching practices
- School leaders may strategically choose teachers for novices to observe when they are given release time to do so


## NSSME

## Factors That

Predict the Extent to Which
Secondary
Teachers' Engage Students in the Science Practices
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## Analytic Approach

The 2018 NSSME+ collected data about the nature of instruction in secondary science classes

Study also collected tons of data about teachers, schools, and instructional resources

This analysis looked at school, class, and teacher characteristics that are associated with instructional practices

## Outcomes

Composite variables measuring:

1. Reform-oriented instructional objectives
2. Extent instruction engages students with the practices of science

## Reform-Oriented Instructional

## Objectives

## How much emphasis each would receive over the

 entire course:1. Understanding science concepts
2. Learning about different fields of science/engineering
3. Learning how to do science (develop scientific questions; design and conduct investigations; analyze data; develop models, explanations, and scientific arguments)
4. Learning how to do engineering (e.g., identify criteria and constraint, design solutions, optimize solutions)
5. Learning about real-life applications of science/engineering
6. Increasing students' interest in science/engineering
7. Developing students' confidence that they can successfully pursue careers in science/engineering

## Engagement in Science Practices

## How often students are engaged in aspects of the science practices:

1. Asking questions/defining problems
2. Developing and using models
3. Planning and carrying out investigations
4. Analyzing and interpreting data
5. Using mathematics and computational thinking
6. Constructing explanations/designing solutions
7. Engaging in argument from evidence
8. Obtaining, evaluating, and communicating information

## Independent Variables

## Schools

- School size
- Community type
- Public vs. private school
- Spending per pupil
- Extent factors are problematic
- Block scheduling (HS only)


## Teachers

- Years of K-12 science teaching experience
- Science-related degree
- Perceptions of preparedness
- Teaching beliefs
- Science-related job before teaching
- Amount of science PD
- Race/sex


## Classes

- Subject matter
- Course level (HS only)
- Prior achievement level of students
- Class size
- Percent of students in class from race/ethnicity groups historically underrepresented in STEM
- Curriculum control
- Pedagogy control
- Number of instructional materials used often
- Adequacy of resources
- Extent effective instruction is promoted


## Reform-Oriented Objectives Receiving a Heavy Emphasis



MiddleHigh

## Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite: Middle School



## Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives Composite: High School



## Engagement in Science Practices

Students are often engaged in aspects of science related to conducting investigations and analyzing data

## Conducting Investigations and Analyzing Data: Weekly



## Engagement in Science Practices

Students are often engaged in aspects of science related to conducting investigations and analyzing data

Students tend to not be engaged very often in aspects of science related to evaluating the strengths/limitations of evidence and the practice of argumentation

## Evaluating Evidence and Arguing: Weekly



## Engaging Students in the Practices Science Composite: Middle School



## Engaging Students in the Practices Science Composite: High School



## School Independent Variables

## School Independent Variables

|  | Middle Schools | High Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Average Number of Students | 460 | 687 |
| Average Percent FRL | $\$ 7.22$ | $\$ 11.62$ |

## School Independent Variables

|  | Percent of Middle Schools | Percent of High Schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community Type |  |  |
| Rural | 28 | 37 |
| Suburban | 42 | 37 |
| Urban | 30 | 26 |
| School Type | 73 |  |
| Public | 27 | 81 |
| Private |  | 19 |
| Schedule Type | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| Block | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 33 |
| Traditional |  | 67 |

## School Mean Scores for Factors Affecting Instruction Composites



## Teacher Independent Variables

## K-12 Science Teaching Experience



## Perceptions of Preparedness: Very Well Prepared to Teach Earth/Space Science Topics


$\square$ Middle $\quad$ High

## Perceptions of Preparedness: Very Well Prepared to Teach Biology/Life Science Topics



## Perceptions of Preparedness: Very Well Prepared to Teach Chemistry Topics



- Middle ■ High


## Perceptions of Preparedness: Very Well Prepared to Teach Physics Topics



## Perceptions of Preparedness: Very Well Prepared to Teach Environmental Science

Environmental and resource issues

## Perceptions of Preparedness: Very Well Prepared to Use StudentCentered Pedagogies



## Perceptions of Preparedness: Very Well Prepared to Use StudentCentered Pedagogies



## Perceptions of Preparedness: Very Well Prepared for Various Tasks in the Most Recent Unit



## Teachers Agreeing With Various Reform-Oriented Teaching Beliefs



Middle - High

## Teachers Agreeing With Various Traditional Teaching Beliefs


$\square$ Middle $\quad$ High

## Science Background



## Hours of Science PD in the Previous 3 Years



## Teacher Characteristics



## Teacher Race/Ethnicity



- American Indian/Alaskan Native

■ Black or African-American

- Asian
- Hispanic or Latino

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander $\square$ White

## Class Independent Variables

## Middle School Course Types



## High School Course Types



## High School Course Levels



## Prior Achievement Grouping in Science Classes



## Class Size



## Average Percentage of Historically Underrepresented Students in Class



## Classes in Which Teachers Feel Strong Control Over Curric ulum



## Classes in Which Teachers Feel Strong Control Over Pedagogy



## Number of Types of Instructional Materials Used Often
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## Classes in Which Teachers Feel Various Resources are Adequate



## Class Mean Scores for Factors Promoting Effective IInstruction Composites



## Middle School Path Model









## High School Path Model







## Total Effects on Student Engagement in Science Practices

|  | Middle | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perceptions of Preparedness | 0.370 | 0.341 |
| Reform-Oriented Teaching Beliefs | 0.129 | 0.188 |
| Amount of Science PD in Previous 3 Years |  |  |
| Less than 35 hours | --- | 0.157 |
| 35 or more hours | --- | 0.184 |
| Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives | 0.390 | 0.405 |
| Curriculum Control | --- | 0.180 |
| Pedagogy Control | -0.337 | -0.121 |
| Number of instructional materials used often (vs. none) |  |  |
| One | 0.090 | -0.254 |
| Two or three | 0.101 | -0.286 |
| Four or more | 0.198 | -0.064 |
| Adequacy of Resources for Instruction | -0.229 | --- |
| Extent Effective Instruction is Promoted | 0.380 | --- |
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